STATE OF NEVADA 1 EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE 2 MEETING TRANSCRIPT 3 SEPTEMBER 22, 2022 4 5 In the event of an evacuation, which I 6 DUPREE: 7 hope to God we won't have to do, go out the door and around 8 the right side of the building and evacuate stairs, and you will hear me praying. So, let's hope that doesn't happen. Um, first order of business, because it's a public meeting, we're 10 11 gonna open the doors to public comment. And for, uh, acknowledge public comment --12 Sir? 13 WEISS: We cannot address anything -- yes? 14 DUPREE: Wait, we gotta do the evacuation thing for 15 WEISS: 16 the south. 17 DUPREE: Oh, yeah, yeah. Forget about that. Those 18 in the south, how do you evacuate by chance? Go ahead, Turessa. 19 WEISS: 20 You would come in the door -- or you would RUSSELL: go out the door you came in, to my left. Go out into the 21 22 hallway, turn left, go all the way down to the exit, and go 23 out into the parking lot, and meet there. Okay. Um, in keeping with the public 24 DUPREE:

meeting requirements, uh, we're gonna open any -- the meeting

right now to any comment from the public. No item raised by 1 the public in public comment can be discussed in the -- and --2 as an agenda item until it's -- until and unless it has been 3 added to an agenda for discussion later. But if anybody's got 5 something to say, this is a good time to hear it. Is there any public comment in the north? Okay, hearing none. Any public 6 comment in the south? 7 No public comment in the south. 8 UNIDENTIFIED: 9 DUPREE: Okay, hearing none. Uh, right now, I'd like to ask any witnesses in any manner before this, uh, body 10 to raise their right hand and stand up and begin. And do any 11 witnesses -- anybody who's planning on testifying today, uh, 12 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and only the truth 13 today? 14 Miss? Step forward so they can see you on 15 WEISS: the camera. 16 Step forward as well, if you can. 17 DUPREE: 18 UNIDENTIFIED: I do. Okay. How about any -- does everybody 19 DUPREE: 20 promise to tell the truth today? 21 T do. UNIDENTIFIED: 22 DUPREE: All right. I'm -- I'm seeing nods from 23 here in the north, so I'm gonna say that's only affirmative. Please be seated everyone. Um, kind of as a -- before we move 24

through the -- to adopt the agenda, item number 8 in the

```
agenda, which is grievance number -- grievance number 8232, I
 1
    understand a, uh, agreement has been reached between the
 2
    grievant and the agency. So that is no longer on our plate
 3
    today. With the item of number 8 not being there, I'd like a
 5
    motion for the adoption of the agenda.
         RUSSELL:
                        Turessa Russell.
 6
 7
         DUPREE:
                        Thank you.
 8
         RUSSELL:
                        I move, I move that we adopt the agenda.
 9
         DUPREE:
                        Do we have a second? I'll second. All in
    favor of adopting the motion without number 8 in it, say aye.
10
11
         MULTIPLE:
                        Aye.
         DUPREE:
                        Any opposed? Don't think so. I heard 4
12
13
    ayes.
14
                        And Chair, uh, we need to, uh, go back a
         WEISS:
    little bit and do, uh, introductions.
1.5
16
         DUPREE:
                        Oh, yeah. All right. Um, we're gonna do
    committee introductions now. I am Tracy DuPree. I'm with the
17
18
    Department of Employment Training and Rehab.
                        I am Sandie Geyer. I am, uh, with the
19
         GEYER:
20
    Office of the Attorney General.
21
                        Go ahead. Mary Jo.
         WEISS:
                        Mary Jo Scott with the Offic --e
22
         SCOTT:
23
    Governor's Finance Office.
                        Turessa Russell, University of Nevada, Las
2.4
         RUSSELL:
25
    Vegas.
```

```
WEISS:
                        Todd Weiss, Deputy Attorney General.
 1
                        And, um, if staffers, uh, Kristen and
         DUPREE:
 2
    Roxanne in the audience up here in the north, do you want
 3
    introduce yourself ladies, for the record, or not? I'm gonna
 4
 5
    leave that up to you. No? I'm seeing <inaudible>. All right.
    Um, with that, we're going through, uh -- the agenda has been
 6
    adopted, which brings us to, um, item number 5, uh, adjustment
 7
    and, uh, grievance of George Wilcox, number 7853. Uh, Mr.
    Wilcox, are you here or in the south? I thought you'd be here,
   but I can't remember. Anybody hear from Mr. Wilcox on that
10
11
    side?
12
         SCOTT:
                        Mary Jo Scott for the record. He is not
    here today. George Wilcox's not here.
13
14
         ALLENDER:
                        But -- I'm for the DMV, but my name's
   Robin Allender. I'm the administrator.
1.5
16
         WEISS:
                        Why don't you come to the table Miss?
    This'll be quick, but --
17
18
         ALLENDER:
                        Good morning. My name is Robin Allender.
    I'm the administrator for the Field Services Division with the
19
20
    Department of Motor Vehicles.
21
                        Good morning.
         DUPREE:
22
         ALLENDER:
                        So, I guess I'll get started. Um, I would
23
    ask -- I don't know the -- the process --
                        You actually don't even have to make a
24
         WEISS:
25
    case. Um, the grievant is absent. Uh, it's cons -- it's --
```

it's automatically dismissed with -- with the vote. 1 DUPREE: Okay. Uh, the grievant is absent. So, was 2 this -- DAG, since the grievant is absent, did I hear you say 3 we can just adjourn it with a vote? Or what do we vote on? 5 WEISS: Yeah, that's, that's how we've done, uh, Chair. Uh, the grievant has -- the grievant has to be here to 6 present his case. If he's not here, there's nothing to move 7 forward on. 8 9 DUPREE: All right. As the grievant is not present, and there doesn't appear to be anything to move forward on, 10 11 uh, we need a motion to, um, deny this grievance because nobody showed up. 12 For the record, Sandie Geyer. I make a 13 GEYER: motion that grievant -- grievance number 7853 is, uh, 14 dismissed or denied based on the grievant not appearing for 15 today's hearing. 16 Turessa Ru --17 RUSSELL: 18 DUPREE: Go ahead, Turessa. Sorry. Turessa Russell, I'll second. 19 RUSSELL: 20 There a motion and a second. Any discussion on DUPREE: the motion? Hearing none. All in favor of the motion, say aye. 21 22 MULTIPLE: Aye. 23 Any opposed? Motion carries. Okay. Perhaps I should have actually done a little more housekeeping. That's 24

why I don't do this full time. Uh, those of us -- those of you

that have never done this before, we are kind of like a court body, but not really. Uh, we -- if you have a grievance before us, you're going to -- the grievant goes first and states their case and the, uh, agency can cross examine witnesses, uh, and then the agency presents its case. Then we deliberate on that right here in front of you. And you'll hear our decision today, but you'll get a written, uh, copy of our decision within 45 days with signatures and everything. Uh, we're gonna keep it pretty informal. Keep in mind that we all have to work together the next day and the day after that. So don't, uh -- don't say anything here today that you might live to regret at the coffee room tomorrow. Um, we'd also like anybody that is planning on, uh, testifying to sign this signin sheet up here when you're about ready to sit down and do it. Um, anybody have any questions? Uh, the microphones, uh, there -- there are microphones that are around the building. And we ask everybody to state their name for the record because we don't -- the -- the person transcribing cannot always -- does not always know who you are. So, whenever you can, if you have to say anything, state your name for the record. All right. With that, uh, we move to item number 6, grievance of Frank Demrow, number 7892, uh, Department of Veterans Affairs.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEMROW:

I -- and I apologize, kind of had to

Uh, for the record, I'm Frank Demrow. Um,

UNIDENTIFIED: <inaudible> need you to sit at the table.

DEMROW: Sit the table?

1.5

DUPREE: Yeah, go ahead and sit. Grievants and their counsel, if they have any, can sit up at the table. And the witnesses can come here to testify where the microphones can hear you and the cameras can see you. Yeah.

DEMROW: So, um, I'll proceed then. Um, I am kind of changing <inaudible> midstream because, um, I'm just taking a look at the packet here, um, and I -- and I -- just to kind of justify here, um, if you just read the first line, this has to do with the grievance --

DUPREE: Okay. You just read -- sorry, but you made me realize I forgot to do something. Does either side have any objections to the packets as submitted?

DEMROW: I have many, many. This -- this is -- this is, uh -- the grievance is about a-a, uh, reprimand that I received, uh, I-I think, June 15th or 16th, 2021. And there are documents in here that are from just recently. I mean, there's a lot of documents that are after I -- when the grievance -- or when the, uh, reprimand was issued. And I don't see how the future has any relevance on -- on -- its -- on the, uh, reprimand. It's, uh -- see, because this reprimand was used as a basis to build a case against me, and now they're taking stuff from that case and putting it in here.

It's -- it's absolutely absurd. It's circular logic. It's -- and, uh, this, I mean -- about half this packet is probably stuff that was after the fact.

WEISS: Mr. Demrow, can you identify the number exhibits you -- you have an issue with?

DEMROW: Well, anything that's dated after, um --

after, uh, June 15th, 2016. Um, but it looks like -- like the first thing that jumps out at me is this. I -- it looks like I signed this on April 25th, 2022. Um, but regardless -- uh, well, actually, no. I apologize. Not regardless. If we are doing objections to the packet, then yeah, we should, uh, go over this. Um, but because of that -- well, I'll get to that part later. Um, but like this here, there's a -- there is a specific -- specificity of charges and, uh, why this would be in a packet that had something to do with something that happened the year before is -- I have no -- I don't understand that. That's absurd. Um, and it's -- and now, I mean, it obviously taints -- I mean, all of this stuff is -- this is very questionable to have something like that in here even.

DUPREE: Uh, Mr. do you have a response to that?

PRICE: Well, I mean, before we -- before I can even respond, I need to know what exhibit and page number he is referring to.

DEMROW: I just -- well, that, uh -- there's one example here that the, uh, specificity of charges and

everything that is accompanying it all should be taken out. And, uh, I don't know what exhibit that is. I think it must be, uh, G or -- Oh, yeah. Yeah. G definitely needs -- there's a lot of problems with G. Um, I mean that, yeah, G. Now, um, the first thing you'll see in G is a-a reprimand that's not a legitimate reprimand at all. And if you look at it closely, you'll see that, um, on the front of it, they wrote, Frank refused to sign. But that's not the case at all because no one signed it at all because I never saw it. It's a complete -- it -- it's a shadow file that -- that shouldn't even exist. Um, it's absurd. And if -- this was not originally in my file at all, but they -- they put -- they attached all these shadow files to another instance, um, that -- that didn't -- doesn't go through the EMC. And that's all in my record now. But like you can see very plainly, this isn't signed by anyone at all. GEYER: Uh, Chair, Sandy Geyer for the record. Uh, in -- in order to be able to follow Mr. Demrow's objections to the exhibits, it would be really helpful, I think, for the committee that we go through them in order. DUPREE: Yeah. Also, I'd like to point out the next thing DEMROW: here --GEYER: Mr. Demrow --

I'm sorry.

Thank you. Um, so I would like to suggest

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEMROW:

GEYER:

```
that we go through those exhibits in order, so that we can
 1
    make sure that Mr. Demrow's concerns are addressed, as well as
 2
    giving the agency an opportunity to be able to respond.
 3
         DUPREE:
                        I think that is an excellent idea.
 4
 5
         GEYER:
                        So, Mr. Demrow, would you please go
    through each exhibit, starting with A, so that we can see
 6
    where your objections are? If that -- if A is one of those
 7
    objections.
 8
 9
         DEMROW:
                        Why don't we -- would it be possible if I
    start with Exhibit G and then we'll go --
10
11
         GEYER:
                        It would be possible for you to start with
    Exhibit A.
12
                        Okay. Exhibit A is my grievance. It looks
13
         DEMROW:
    like?
14
                        Uh, according to the packet that I have,
15
         GEYER:
    Exhibit A appears to be a work performance standard.
16
17
         DEMROW:
                        Oh, I must have the wrong packet.
18
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                             This is the agency's packet.
                        This is the agency's packet that you, Mr
19
         GEYER:
20
    Demrow -- Sandie Geyer for the record. This is the agency's
    packet that you indicated that you had issues with the
21
    exhibits. I'm not sure exactly what you have in front of you.
22
23
    Are -- is that -- is that the -- is -- is that -- can I take a
    look here?
24
```

Yes, please do.

25

DEMROW:

ANDERSON: If I could interject a moment member Geyer, there are 2 items for Mr. Demrow on the agenda. So, we need to make sure that the packet we're looking at right now is for, um, grievance number 7892. Sorry, Kristen Anderson for the record.

GEYER: Thank you. Thank you.

DEMROW: Okay, so now we're all on the same page, so to speak. Um, now in Exhibit A in the first packet for grievance, uh -- grievance 7892, it is my grievance that -- that -- what I filled out, um, and where I asked the reprimand be kept out my record. That's fine. Now, onto Exhibit B, this would be a copy of the written reprimand. And that -- that's fine. That's what I'm grieving. That's what I'm

DUPREE: That's why we're here.

DEMROW: Right. That -- and you can see where that stated June 15th, 2021. Exhibit C appears to be a letter that I wrote. And this is -- this -- this is fine too, because this is an email or a letter -- an email that I sent. And this is fine. I sent this <inaudible>. Um, Exhibit E, I have never seen this before, but I guess -- okay, I think this is where they will, uh -- okay, this looks like -- I've never seen it before, but I think it's, uh -- must be an audit or something. Although, I would only mention that I requested a copy of that audit several times and never got one. Um, and so that's fine. That's fine. And under that, for, uh -- in that exhibit,

that's just, uh, bills to support the audit. That's all we got <inaudible>. Now we get to Exhibit E. And I apologize that we have to do this. I-I -- there's not a -- I didn't --

GEYER: No apology needed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DUPREE: No apology needed, sir.

DEMROW: I didn't get this.

DUPREE: This is not something you do.

Yeah, no, this is the first time I'm **DEMROW:** seeing it. So, um, so I just wanna double check. This document is regarding reconciliation assignment. That is very possible. That is good. So, now on to Exhibit F. Okay, this is like a list of -- of more, uh, <inaudible> regard audit defenders. That -- okay, and that's fine. Um, okay. Now we get to, uh, Exhibit G. Um, and now as far as I can tell, you can see, pretty much Exhibit G is gonna be where I have my problem. Um, the first problem being, uh, this reprimand is not the reprimand that I was issued. Um, can I step away and get a drink of water? Um, it's an old reprimand and -- and it's a reprimand that I -- was prepared by one of my supervisors. And we sat down with her boss and my -- and me, and, uh, I said, well, this is -- this is ridiculous. This is -- none of this is true. And we -- and we talked about it. And, uh -- and so she said, well, let me rewrite it and take out all that stuff you're saying. And then I never saw it again, and now it pops up here. And this is -- and it's not signed. And I don't know

-- it's not something that should be in my file. And, uh, it's

-- it scares me to think, I don't know where this came from.

Because it wasn't in my original file, but now other -- it is

in my file again now because it was attached to other things.

And, uh -- but this is not legit. This shouldn't be in here.

Now, that's the first 3 pages of the -- Exhibit G. Now, the

next reprimand is another case of this. This one doesn't even

have a page to have a signature on, so -- or a stamp that it's

sent to -- to central records or anything. So, this is another

shadow document. This is -- that's -- that shouldn't be in

there. Now, this next one is one of my favorites because this

one here, um -- it's got in the -- I love this one. Um, it's

got in the letterhead --

UNIDENTIFIED: I think so.

DEMROW: -- Steve Sisolak as the governor, but it's dated for December 14th, 2018. He wasn't the governor yet, so this couldn't even exist. Like it's a -- it's a factual impossibility. Um, and -- and I -- and I've told them this many times. I'm like, you gotta get that out of there. This -- this can't even happen. Um, but, uh, um -- and then, uh, there's some other things in here. Documented oral warning -- uh, a documented oral warning, I'm not even gonna go into that 'cause it's -- that's such a small thing. Uh, but, uh, it really shouldn't be in here, but I'm not <inaudible> about that. Letters of instruction shouldn't be in here either.

They're not -- they can't be used in discipline. Um, and I-I can't quote you the exact administrative code, but they can't use for discipline unless they're attached to something else.

Um, so they shouldn't be in here. Um, the -- and then I have to imagine now -- and then we have decision letters here for the things that happened. <crosstalk>. Uh, this is, uh -- so I don't understand what a, uh, suspension for 30 days -- or for 3 days has to do -- a suspension that I received a year later -- a year after this reprimand, why is that in here? That shouldn't be in here. And, uh -- but maybe it should be in here because this reprimand was the thing they started with to -- to build on that to get to all this other stuff at the end here where there -- because I -- yeah, but it's -- um, so that shouldn't be -- and so yeah, everything in G is -- I dunno.

Um, now, Exhibit H.

1.5

PRICE: Can -- can we discuss G before we move on to the other exhibits?

DUPREE: Yeah. Let's -- let's hear from the agency on Exhibit G before we move on to Exhibit H.

PRICE: All right. So, Exhibit G contains, as you can see, a number of past discipline that Mr. Demrow was issued. And as part of, um, the disciplinary process under NRS 284.383, agencies are required to follow principles of progressive discipline. Um, the, uh, reason these documents are in the file is to show that, uh, Mr. Demrow does have a

past, uh, disciplinary history regarding his performance issues. And that goes to prove that, uh, the agency did carry out progressive discipline in this case. Um, as far as the, you know, written reprimand that wasn't signed, it does say that Mr. Demrow refused to sign it. Um, to my knowledge, this is in his file. He did receive the written reprimand and I believe you even said that you received a written reprimand at one point. Um, same thing goes for the following written reprimand dated November 6th, 2018. Um, I can't explain why the, uh, letterhead on the 2014 -- or December 14th, 2018, written reprimand does have an incorrect letterhead. Um, I mean, Mr. Demrow signed this document. So did somebody else. I honestly can't explain why that's the way that is. Um, and, uh, I believe it is the same thing with this documented oral warning. I mean, all these, um, forms of discipline were carried out, you know, before the written reprimand in this case with it was issued. And it's abso-absolutely relevant to this case to show his history of past performance issues. Uh, oral warnings, um, are formal discipline and can be included in an employee's personnel file. Um, the reason that the specificity of charges is in there is to show that he continues to have person -- or, uh, uh, problems with his performance today. And so, it was a past problem. It continues to be a problem today. Um, that's why it's in there. If you think that the specificity of charges, um, should be excluded

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from the packet, then, uh, I don't have any objection to that
-- to removing that specific document.

DUPREE: How do you feel about that, sir?

DEMROW: No, I -- the whole thing's gotta go.

Absolutely. And I'm -- I'm unyielding about that because until this reprimand -- until you see this reprimand, I've had one other reprimand that was put in my file here in Carson City.

That was like, almost 5 years before. So, this whole thing's gotta go away. It's complete BS. It's complete balderdash.

DUPREE: Well --

2.4

DEMROW: And I-I don't -- I don't know -- I mean, I don't want to take the time to go and look at the admin -- the administrative code, but I know that letters of instruction are not formal discipline and cannot be put -- they're supposed to be kept with the supervisor, but there's so many things wrong with what he just said.

DUPREE: You know, the thing that's worrying to me about this is that both sides are gonna have objections to everything about this case. That's why we're here. We're trying to get something resolved. And if we spend the entire morning worrying about everything in the packets, uh, we -- you've noted your objections. We know you're not thrilled with them. But, uh, why don't we just --

DEMROW: Move on? Okay, yeah. We can do that.

DUPREE: Can we just move forward with your

grievance and not worry with -- we understand your objections. 1 We get it. We're all <inaudible> and we understand where 2 you're coming from. 3 Okay, now -- but what I was getting to 4 **DEMROW:** 5 though, um, was in pointing those out, is that, um -- that's why I'm going to, uh, read this very briefly. It's just real 6 short. Um, I-I hadn't intended to, but, but I can see that 7 they're taking an all-encompassing approach, so I'm gonna take 8 9 an all-encompassing approach. Um, and this is taken from Psychology Today, uh --10 11 DUPREE: Okay. Give us -- you can give us an opening statement and then --12 I'm gonna read this and then 13 **DEMROW:** Is this your opening statement? 14 DUPREE: Yes, this is my opening statement. 15 DEMROW: Chair? WEISS: 16 Yes, sir? 17 DUPREE: DAG Todd Weiss. There -- there was a-an 18 WEISS: objection made on an exhibit. There has to be a ruling from 19 20 the Chair on that objection --21 All right. DUPREE: -- before we can move forward, unless it's 22 WEISS: 23 being withdrawn. I'm gonna rule that I'm gonna deny your 24 DUPREE:

objection and let's move forward. The packet is submitted. We

```
-- we get it. Let's just move on.
 1
                        Okay. Um, and I'll just read this real
 2
         DEMROW:
    quickly, and that would be my opening statement.
 3
                        Yes, sir.
 4
         DUPREE:
 5
         DEMROW:
                        All right. Mobbing is much more
 6
    sophisticated --
 7
         PRICE:
                        Hold on.
                        Sophisticated way of doing someone in than
 8
         DEMROW:
 9
   murder.
                        I'm gonna interject here, Chair.
10
         PRICE:
11
         DEMROW:
                        What?
                        We have some additional evidentiary issues
12
         PRICE:
    that we need to discuss.
13
14
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
                        So, we're not really ready to proceed with
15
         PRICE:
    the oral arguments here.
16
                        Okay. Um, do you have any objections to
17
         DUPREE:
18
    what -- what he submitted, or what -- what, uh --
         PRICE:
                        Well, he didn't submit a packet, and so
19
20
    that's -- that's part -- there's a couple of items I need to
    address. Um, so the Department would first like to confirm,
21
22
    uh, that all documents pertaining to the subpoena requests
23
    that was made by the Department be made part of the official
    record of this proceeding. I don't know if you're aware of
24
```

what occurred, um, because the subpoena requests were

submitted to Chair Parker, and she's not here today. Um, but in any event, the state requested subpoenas on August 22^{nd} -or August, uh, 26th, 2022. There was a follow up email where the department, uh, requested whether or not his subpoenas would be granted. Um, there's an email from Ms. Hardy dated September 7th stating that the request for subpoenas was denied by the EMC. And then, uh, there's an email from Ms. Hardy on September 8th, 2022, and that contained a formal letter from the Chair of the EMC denying the subpoena request. And then the Department, uh, submitted arrest -- a request to reconsider. Uh, that decision on their subpoena request -that was issued on September 9th, 2022. And then the, uh, parties received an email from Nora Johnson dated September 14th, 2022, granting the subpoenas. And so, I just want to ensure that those documents are included in the record, uh, of this proceeding.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DUPREE: Can -- you can go ahead and include those in the record.

UNIDENTIFIED: How -- how can it be included if we don't have access to them?

PRICE: So, in other words, I'm not asking to add them as an exhibit to our packets, I just want to be sure for the record -- so that the record's clear that that prior business that was conducted between the parties and the EMC Chair is included in the record of this proceeding.

Member DAG, any thoughts on that? Should DUPREE: 1 2 be --WEISS: It -- it -- it is included in the oral 3 record now, uh, counsel. Thank you for -- for giving us that 5 history. Um, it's included in the record now. Obviously, it's not gonna be included as exhibits as part of the grievance, 6 'cause that has nothing to do with the grievance. But, um, it 7 is in part of the oral record now. 8 9 PRICE: Right. But I'm -- I'm requesting that the documents be included in the record of this proceeding as 10 11 well. Todd, can you, uh -- if you need water, go 12 ahead and get it. Todd, we can do that -- or can we do that? 13 I'm sorry, what was the request chair? 14 WEISS: He wants the documents included in the 15 DUPREE: record. The subpoena request, emails, all that stuff. 16 Chair, the issue is, I don't know what 17 WEISS: 18 record they would be included in. The only record we're gonna have here is the record of the grievance itself, which 19 20 includes the packets, the grievance, things that were submitted beforehand. I don't know where -- I don't know where 21 22 counsel would want that stuff to go. It -- it wouldn't go as 23 part of the grievance packet. 2.4 DUPREE: Okay.

25

PRICE:

So -- so I believe he just said that the

documents that are submitted beforehand would be part of the record. And so those documents were submitted in conjunction with this EMC grievance proceeding. And I just want to ensure that those documents are included as part of the official record in this case, so in the event this matter is appealed, those are included with -- with the file that's submitted with the record.

ANDERSON: If I can interject? Kristen Anderson, uh, currently, uh, Deputy Administrator for Department of Administration. Um, the subpoenas were received by consultation and accountability. They were reviewed per, um, rules of practice by the Chair. We did have a discussion with, uh, DAG Weiss. Um, we did do the reconsideration for them and provided that to, uh, the parties involved. Um, the paper trail for this is included in Mr. Demrow's grievance file in our office, um, just as confirmation.

DUPREE: Thank you.

1.5

PRICE: And, uh, I mean, the state would also want like to confirm that the documents submitted in connection with the state's request for continuance in this matter is also included in the record. And so that would be the state's request for continuance dated September 15th, 2022, uh, Mr. Demrow's response dated September 15th, 2022, and the EMC Chair's denial of the request for continuance dated September 16th, 2022. I just wanna ensure that those documents are

included in the record as well.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DUPREE: I would assume since everything else is included in the grievance file in the office, that those will be there too.

PRICE: All right, thank you. And, uh, next I'd like to move on. Um, the Department moves to exclude the testimony of any witnesses, uh, presented by Mr. Demrow, including Mr. Demrow himself. Uh, we also move to exclude any documents that he may attempt to, uh, admit during the proceeding. Uh, in this case, as you may already know, Mr. Demrow failed to admit a -- submit a pre-hearing packet. And, uh, pursuant to the order scheduling hearing, each party is required to submit a packet to the committee, which contains the documents that they intend to rely on at the hearing. Um, the packet must include a list of potential witnesses, including a description of the relevant information about which the witness would make a statement. Uh, Mr. Demrow did not, uh, provide a packet. He did not provide a list of witnesses. He did not describe what any witnesses would testify to. Um, and so, uh, the Department requested a continuance of the hearing, uh, on 2 grounds. And the first was that they didn't have sufficient time to serve the subpoenas because at the time that they were issued, the 15day period in which to serve the subpoenas had already passed. Um, the second, uh, request -- reason for the request for

continuance was to allow Mr. Demrow to submit a hearing packet prior to his grievance. Uh, nevertheless, the EMC Chair, uh, denied the request to continue the hearing. And in that denial, um, that's dated in a letter, uh, September 16th, 2022, it states that the EMC, uh, um -- or I'm sorry, it states quote, "the grievant's failure to submit a packet limits him to only the grievance itself, and he may reference the agency's packet. While the grievant will not be allowed to call his own witnesses, he will be allowed to cross examine any witnesses called by the agency." So based on the Chair's prior ruling, uh, we would just move to exclude any, uh, witness testimony by Mr. Demrow, including his own testimony and any documents he may attempt to submit.

1.5

2.4

DUPREE: Well, I'm <inaudible> the Chair, so <inaudible> that portion, at least in this grievance.

WEISS: Chair, hold -- we -- we need to -- we need to clarify the issue of request for -- to -- to deny the grievant his ability to testify. What exact -- counsel, what exactly do you mean by that?

PRICE: I mean -- I mean, he can't offer his own testimony. He can cross examine any witnesses -- any witnesses that the state may have. But based on the Chair's own ruling, it states that he can't call any witnesses, and that includes himself.

WEISS: No. He's allowed to present his -- his --

his grievance, counsel. He is not -- you can't deny him his ability to present his own grievance because he didn't state himself in a witness list. That's not how that works.

DUPREE: What he said. Thank you, DAG. I appreciate it.

WEISS: You're welcome.

DUPREE: The grievant can present his grievance, and if <inaudible>, you can always cross. We're gonna move forward.

PRICE: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEMROW: Okay. This is taken from Psychology Today, and I'll get through it real quick and then that'll be my statement out. All right. Mobbing is a much more sophisticated way of doing someone in than murder, and in most countries, it has the advantage of being legal. What is workplace mobbing? Workplace mobbing is a purposeful humiliation, degradation, terrorization of an individual by a group of people in an effort to remove him from the organization, often resulting in reputational damage, tra-traumatization, health concerns, financial hardship, and job loss for the victim. What is the difference between mobbing and workplace bullying? Bullying is often but not always hierarchical, in which a person with more power, per designated position or social influence, targets a single individual with less power for abuse. In contrast, mobbing is non-hierarchical, involves a group of perpetrators

who collectively gang up on the victim for the sole purpose of pushing him out. Mobbing is a product of organizational dynamics that establishes in groups and out groups that operate under avail of secrecy, discourage questioning, lack due process, and are more interested in preserving outter appearances than getting curious about entrenched problems.

PRICE: Chair, Chair, I'm gonna -- I'm gonna have to object to the opening statement.

UNIDENTIFIED: Excuse me. When you want to speak, please remember to address the record so that we know who is speaking.

DUPREE: Yes, please do that.

PRICE: Okay. Brandon Price for the Department of Veterans Services. I'm gonna have to object to the opening statement. A ruling was made that Mr. Demrow is not permitted to submit any documents in conjunction with the grievance because he didn't submit a packet. He's trying to admit a document through reading it into the record. And so, I think that violates the Chair's prior decision. Additionally, the EMC hearing is restricted to reviewing the matters raised in the 4 corners of the grievance. And Mr. Demrow is going outside the 4 corners of the grievance. He's not, um, you know, making any argument with respect to his grievance itself. So, I just ask that, uh, you exclude, uh, the reading of this document that Mr., uh, Demrow is doing right now.

DUPREE: Mr. Demrow, how would you respond to that? 1 Um, Frank Demrow for the record. Um, I-I **DEMROW:** 2 would respond to that, that -- that I had no intention of 3 starting with this because I-I wanted to just address the 4 5 grievance. But because of this packet and because Exhibit G was -- you -- you didn't take it out, it covers a much wider 6 7 scope. And so that's why I changed my perspective. Now, had -had you taken out, you know, Exhibit G, and had we just really 8 been looking at this single grievance, then I had a completely different strategy in mind. I did not --10 11 DUPREE: Mr. Demrow, since you had a completely different strategy in mind, let's go with that for the 12 <inaudible>. 13 So, what -- then Exhibit G's coming out 14 DEMROW: then? You're taking it out? 1.5 16 DUPREE: We're here to listen to your case in all 17 and we're not gonna rule --18 DEMROW: This is -- this is it. This is it right here. This describes exactly the whole case and step by step. 19 20 All right, uh. DUPREE: Chairman, Sandie Geyer for the record. Um, 21 GEYER: my question to Mr. Demrow, can you explain for the committee 22 23 how that particular article relates to your grievance? And if you can use your words, as opposed to reading a publication as 24

part of your opening statement, or as your opening statement,

I think that it will help the committee understand your position in, uh, bringing your grievance to EMC.

1.5

DEMROW: I'll just read it and then you're <inaudible>. If you don't want in the record, we can -- um, the phenomenon of mobbing and be partially attributed to what psychologists call fundamental retribution <inaudible> in which successes and failures are ascribed to a single employee instead of the culture and structure of the organization.

GEYER: Mr. Demrow, Sandie Geyer for the record, again. DAG Weiss, do you -- do you have a comment?

WEISS: I-I do. I-I-I-I think counsel with the agency is correct. Uh, there's -- there's -- this is -- this is improper admission of a document that wasn't on -- wasn't anything was previously submitted. Secondly, I would agree that it's irrelevant to the -- the issue of the grievance itself, unless the grievance can -- grievant can articulate what this has to do with his grievance. I would -- I would agree with, uh, agency counsel.

DEMROW: Frank Demrow for the record. Fair enough.

Um, so what I would like to do then is try to summarize this real quickly. And -- and basically it goes over the process that I'm going through now, um, and how it -- how, um -- how it's multiple people attacking me. They use shadow documents. They use -- they -- they build on previous things that were not true to get things that are also not true. And then they

go backwards and do 'em. Um, they attack my character because my -- my file was clean before. And -- and there wasn't a history of real discipline. That -- that is all fabricated and -- and part of a kind of fictional narrative that was made up. Um, and, uh, they -- they -- they don't directly say to my colleagues to do anything. They imply a lot of things to them, which causes them to get on board with the whole process. And this is a phenomenon that's very real. Mobbing is a phenomenon that's very real. And it happens across the country, and it happens, um, a great deal. It's -- it's -- and in a lot of cases it's right built right into the culture. And I don't fault any individual for it. It's not -- it's not like there's some evil mastermin, behind this. It's -- it -- it is a cultural thing. It's a cultural phenomenon. It's nobody's fault at this point, 'cause it's been allowed to develop for so long there. It could have been stopped along the way, but now it's part of our culture. And it's something that needs to be addressed. And, uh, that's really what I'm trying to do today. But, um, that's -- that sums it up. Sandie Geyer for the record. Thank you. GEYER: Mr. Demrow. Uh, does that conclude your opening statement? Well, um, I -- very briefly, um, the **DEMROW:** reprimand -- when I go through the reprimand, I find that -that, uh, the person who wrote is trying to make 4 points, um,

that -- 4 things I've done wrong. And -- and, uh, they are, I

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

made a statement that was threatening. I didn't pay the bills in a timely manner as indicated by an audit. Um, I paid an invoice without authorization. And I failed to reconcile 3 accounts as I was instructed. But that's what the reprimand says. And, um, the question is, did I do those things and are those things disciplinary worthy? That's all I'd like to say right now.

GEYER: Thank you.

1.5

DUPREE: Uh, okay. That's the end of your opening statement?

DEMROW: Yeah, that would be the -- my opening statement. Yeah.

DUPREE: Then it would be time for your case. Oh,

PRICE: Well, your Chair, I'd like to make our

opening statement.

DUPREE: Yeah, go ahead. I'm sorry. Sorry about that mistake. Now for the state's opening statement.

PRICE: All right. Uh, uh, my name is Brandon

Price. I represent the Department of Veteran Services. Uh,

next to me is the Department's representative, Mr. Joe Theile.

He's the Chief Financial Officer of the department. Uh, um,

this case is not about mob mentality. This case is not about a

group attacking Mr. Demrow or ganging up on Mr. Demrow. This

case is about Mr. Demrow and his failure to adequately perform

his job duties. And then when the department tried to correct

the deficiencies and his performance problems, he couldn't correct them. And when they tried to, uh, counsel him on his performance problems, he -- he didn't want to take responsibility for his own actions. And instead, he decided to send an email to a brand new supervisor, in which he intimidated and threatened her, and he accused her of all kinds of wrongdoing, which never occurred. That's what this case is about. Uh, Mr. Demrow, uh, is employed as an Accounting Assistant 3. He is responsible for, uh, processing invoices that come to the department. And, uh, he's -- he's responsible for making sure they get paid. For several months, Mr. Demrow, uh, neglected his job duties. A number of invoices were late as a result of Mr. Demrow neglecting his job duties. Uh, at one point, the Department received a notice, uh, stating that the water at the Veterans Cemetery was going to be shut off because Mr. Demrow wasn't, uh, doing his job duties and ensuring that invoices that were sent to the Department were being paid. In another instance, the Department's, uh, wireless internet was shut off as a result of Mr. Demrow failing to perform his job duties. Um, but what -- what's interesting about the email at issue in this case, which led to his written reprimand, is that he accuses a supervisor of bullying, intimidating, creating an adversarial relationship. But when you really read the email, that's in fact exactly what he was doing. He was intimidating his

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

supervisor. He was bullying his supervisor. And he resorted to making threats simply because she was trying to correct his performance deficiencies. Uh, the written reprimand was issued as a result of Mr. Demrow's misconduct. You're gonna hear evidence today that he, uh, had a history of failing to perform his job duties. It rose to the level of, uh, misconduct under the Department's disciplinary policy for neglected duty. Um, you're gonna hear testimony that he violated policy, uh, by sending that email to his supervisor. Um, the level of discipline that Mr. Demrow received, especially in light of his past disciplinary history, was lenient. The Department could have given Mr. Demrow a suspension or even a more severe level of discipline under the facts of the case, but they actually went lenient and gave him a written reprimand instead. So, the level of discipline that he received was more than reasonable in this case. Um, I briefly want to talk to you about, uh, who has the burden of proof in the grievance hearing. The -- as you know, the EMC has the authority to adjust grievances under NRS 284.384. A grievance is an act, omission, or occurrence, which an employee feels constitutes an injustice arising out of the conditions of his employment. In order to prevail on his grievance, Mr. Demrow has the burden of proof to present evidence that he suffered an injustice. And the only way he can do that is to show that, one, he didn't commit misconduct

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
or, two, that the discipline he received was unreasonable. And
 1
    you're gonna hear evidence in this case, um, that will
 2
    establish that the issuance of the written reprimand was
 3
   proper in this case. And as a result, uh, we are requesting
 5
    that you deny his grievance.
                        <crosstalk> Now would need the time for
 6
 7
    your case in chief.
                        Okay. Frank Demrow for the record. I'll
 8
         DEMROW:
    make my, uh, now to make my case. As I mentioned before, um,
    there were I think 4 points that the -- that the reprimand --
10
11
    um, that the reprimand was for. Um, but I'm just going through
    the exhibits. Um, you made reference to, uh, the -- the email
12
    that I sent. Um, is that in Exhibit C?
13
                        Uh, Chair, would you like me to respond to
14
         PRICE:
1.5
    that?
16
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah, go ahead and respond to that.
                        Uh, it is.
17
         PRICE:
18
         DEMROW:
                        I apologize for my informality, sir.
                        You're all right.
19
         DUPREE:
20
                        Um, um, so the -- um, the letter here --
         DEMROW:
    um, because that is the first point of the reprimand.
21
22
         DUPREE:
                        Is that the letter you were -- is that the
23
    you email you sent?
                        Yes, I did send this email. Um, and, um, I
24
         DEMROW:
```

feel like just looking at the one line though, takes it outta

context, um, because he did bring up some other points in
there that I -- that I -- what I -- that I'm -- so could I -could I read the letter quickly?

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Uh, we've all read it, but if you feel --DUPREE: **DEMROW:** Oh, you've all read it? Everyone's read it? Okay. And so, um, I-I-I feel like the letter was strong, but, um, considering what I was responding to, I-I-I felt that it was -- it was fair. I know that I don't, um -- it's gonna be a matter of opinion whether that statement is a threat or not in any way, because it's definitely not intended to be a threat of violence at all. Um, but a threat is, uh, saying that there'll be negative consequences for an action and not -- that wasn't -- I didn't even do that. So, I don't know how you can consider it a threat. Because I don't think -- having a worthy adversary would not be a negative consequence. It'd be a positive consequence, I would think. But, um -- um, but anyway, my point is that I would encourage you to read that letter because I feel like -- I don't feel like that it is -it is strong, but it's fair considering that I'm responding to an instruction to not communicate with anyone. Um, which I-I am not so sure how I am gonna do any work if I cannot communicate with anyone. So, now that addresses the first point of the reprimand. Now the second point of the reprimand was, uh, I-I did not pay the bills, um, or reconcile the transaction log in a timely manner as demonstrated by the

audit conducted on May 24th, in which 20 vendors had unpaid bills dating back to October 2019. Now, I wanna point out on May 24th is when they started the audit. I was sent home on May 19th. May 19th was a Monday. So, I wasn't there Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. That Monday they started the audit. Now, to only have 20 vendors after not doing any work whatsoever for 5 days is pretty good, I think. Um, 5 -in 5 days -- you can pay a lot of bills in 5 days. And so, an audit conducted without me being there for 5 days is questionable. Very much so. Also, the point about having bills going back to October 2019 -- when we close our fiscal year, we can only go back -- we -- I can only pay bills for the fiscal year. So, if something is a stale claim, I'm not supposed to touch it. And so, if something is back in October 2019, I'm not supposed to touch that. I'm supposed to give it to my supervisor. So, pointing that out is kind of a little bit misleading, I would say. Um, the next major point of the reprimand is on September 11th, I paid an invoice without authorization. I don't know how that's possible. I don't know how that could be done because every -- every payment has to have 2 approvals on it. And so, I don't know how I could do that. And I'm not -- I don't know what she's referring to, um, so. Um, and then the last thing is, I-I failed to reconcile 3 accounts in the 5 days that I was instructed to. Now, I think that's just to <inaudible>. If I'm coming to -- I come to work

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
and I work. And I -- and some -- I don't neglect accounts. I-I
work from the time I get there until the time I leave, you
know, except for lunch. And I -- when they tell me to do
something, I do it. And I know that they would want to make it
seem as though I'm, uh -- I'm fooling around, but I'm not. I'm
working. And if -- if it's just reconciling those accounts,
it's just too much. Now these -- and uh, really those are the
4 main points and, and that's what I have to say about 'em.
Um, I obviously -- you will make the case and I -- and I will
cross, but, uh, that's really all I have for my case because
that's really all I'm addressing. So, it's 4 points 'cause
that's all this is about.
                    Okay. Would the agency like to cross
    DUPREE:
examine?
                    Uh, yes, we would. Uh, Mr. Demrow, uh,
     PRICE:
please take a look at Exhibit A. That's your grievance.
    DEMROW:
                    Okay.
     PRICE:
                    And, uh, this is the grievance that you
submitted in connection, uh, with this case, right?
    DEMROW:
                    Yes.
                    And in your grievance, you allege that the
     PRICE:
written reprimand you received on June 15th, 2021 is quote,
"so misleading, full of exaggerations, half-truths, and
outright lies." You said that right?
```

Yeah, I just pointed those out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEMROW:

```
PRICE:
                        All right. But in your grievance, you
 1
    didn't point out what information was inaccurate, did you?
 2
                        No, I did not.
 3
         DEMROW:
                        All right. That's my question. And um, all
 4
         PRICE:
 5
    you did was make unsupported allegations.
                        Oh, so you -- you want this stricken from
 6
         DEMROW:
 7
    the record because you're saying it shouldn't be admitted
    because of --
 8
 9
         PRICE:
                        That's not -- that's not my question, Mr.
10
    Demrow.
11
         DEMROW:
                        I gotcha.
         PRICE:
                        My question is, you -- you made these
12
    allegations, but you didn't provide any examples of -- of how,
13
    uh, the written reprimand was misleading, right?
14
                        Actually, that's not true. I did provide
15
         DEMROW:
    examples later on in the grievance, yeah.
16
                        All right.
17
         PRICE:
18
         GEYER:
                        Chair, Sandie Geyer for the record. Um,
    just a-a reminder that, please give courtesy to, uh, counsel
19
20
    when they are asking questions just as they have given
    courtesy to you. Okay? Please do not interrupt. We allow
21
    people to speak completely before we want to ask any
22
23
    additional questions. Okay?
                        I understand.
2.4
         DEMROW:
```

Thank you.

25

GEYER:

1	PRICE:	All right.
2	RUSSELL:	Point of order.
3	DUPREE:	Yes, member Russell?
4	RUSSELL:	Um, we also need to remember to identify
5	ourselves when we'r	e speaking for transcription purposes. That
6	would be helpful. I	t's kind of hard to follow listening in
7	person and seeing.	
8	DUPREE:	Yes.
9	RUSSELL:	Thank you.
10	DUPREE:	Thank you.
11	PRICE:	So, Brandon Price for the Department. I'm
12	cross-examining Mr.	Demrow. Um, in your actual grievance, and
13	I'm just referring	to the grievance you submitted, you allege
14	that your written r	eprimand was misleading, but you didn't say
15	what was misleading	, right? Correct?
16	DEMROW:	No, incorrect. I-I can give you an
17	example.	
18	PRICE:	In your grievance that you submitted
19	DEMROW:	I'm talking about the grievance, yes.
20	PRICE:	The actual grievance
21	DEMROW:	Yes.
22	PRICE:	You didn't state
23	DEMROW:	I did.
24	PRICE:	what was misleading
25	DEMROW:	Yes, I-I did.

PRICE: You did? 1 It's right here. The first page of the rep 2 **DEMROW:** -- yeah, I do. If you look on page 205 of the reprimand, I go 3 into explanation of why it was misleading. Very, very in 4 5 depth. PRICE: Correct. That -- that's your -- that's 6 7 your response to the agency's response. It's not the grievance that you submitted? 8 9 **DEMROW:** No. That's the --It was the response, correct? 10 PRICE: 11 DEMROW: No, not -- that's all part of the grievance. 12 Okay. Um, all right. So -- and I was 13 PRICE: getting to that Mr. Demrow. So, in your response, you attempt 14 to point out what you believed was inaccurate or a lie. And in 15 your first response, you state -- the next thing you mentioned 16 is on January 25th, 2021, you received a documented oral 17 18 warning for failing to pay bills and reconcile your transaction log. You then stated, I most certainly did not. 19 20 Correct? 21 I apologize. You're correct. If you -- if **DEMROW:** you are going by the -- defining the grievance as just the 22 23 opening, you are absolutely correct. I'm sorry, you are. PRICE: No -- but no -- but in your response, you 24

-- you're -- you're saying that the lie that's contained in

your grievance is this oral warning that was issued on January 25th, 2021. It says you received -- or, uh, it says -- yeah, you -- you received an oral -- a documented oral warning for failing to pay bills and reconcile your transaction log. And this is a quote by you. "I most certainly did not." So, you're saying you didn't receive an oral warning on January 25th for failing to pay bills and reconcile your transaction log. That's what you've characterized as the lie in your grievance, correct?

DEMROW: Um, that is correct. That --

PRICE: Okay. That -- that's my question. Okay.

So, we have a copy of the oral warning. Please turn to Exhibit

G, and specifically page NDVS75. Okay. The first -- the first

box of the warning is titled Behavior and/or Performance

Concerns. And in that box, there's a sentence that states, "I

told him that keeping the spreadsheet log with his budget

invoices up to date and accurate were part of his work

performance standards." And then the third sentence in the

second paragraph states, "Frank was also behind in paying

bills and reconciling his transaction log. In many cases,

several months behind." Do you see that?

DEMROW: Yes.

1.5

PRICE: Okay. And the last sentence of the second paragraph states, "it is clearly stated in Frank's signed work performance standards he is responsible for keeping the

interments and invoices current and within 30-day payment 1 window." Do you see that? 2 Yeah, yeah. 3 **DEMROW:** 4 PRICE: All right. 5 **DEMROW:** That's -- yeah. So, your response about the January 25th, 6 PRICE: 7 2021, oral warning not addressing your -- your failure to perform bills or reconcile or your transaction logs, that's 9 incorrect. Right? No, I did not say that it doesn't address 10 DEMROW: 11 it. I said, it's not about this reprimand here. This written reprimand is about something completely different. It's about 12 interments. Those are mentioned as an afterthought. And the 2 13 points that you made are not even, uh, uh, condemning. They're 14 1.5 just saying, I'm responsible for it. This reprimand is not 16 about that and that's true. Right. But -- but you said the issue that 17 PRICE: 18 you had with the written reprimand is that it was full of lies. 19 20 **DEMROW:** And that is not -- that's a lie. This is not for that. 21 Right. But you -- you said --22 PRICE: 23 **DEMROW:** It's for interments. You said you didn't receive an oral 24 PRICE:

25

warning back in -- in January

```
DEMROW:
                        For -- for my accounts because --
 1
                        Right, but you did though. We just -- we
         PRICE:
 2
    just went through an oral warning.
 3
                        No, I did not. This is not for that. This
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
    is for the interments.
 6
                        All right. That -- that's fine. That's all
 7
    I have -- question I have on that. Um, please take a look at
    Exhibit D. And this is, uh -- this exhibit contains a number
    of unpaid invoices. Uh, specifically look at page 64. That's
    Exhibit D, page NDVS64. You see that? Mr. Demrow, do you see
10
    that email between you and Ms. Sellers on April 21^{\rm st}, 2021?
11
                        Yeah, I'm just reading it.
12
         DEMROW:
                        Okay. So, this is an email from Dawn
13
         PRICE:
    Sellers. She's a representative of a company called Alsco.
14
    Correct?
1.5
16
         DEMROW:
                         Okay.
                        And they provide services to the
17
         PRICE:
18
    Department, correct?
                         Okay, yes.
19
         DEMROW:
20
                        And -- and they send invoices to the
         PRICE:
    Department, and the department has to pay those invoices. And
21
    in this April 21st, 2021, email, she said -- she explains that
22
23
    the company did not receive payment for a February and March
    invoices totaling $146.
2.4
```

25

DEMROW:

Yeah.

```
And then you respond, and you say that you
    will make it a priority to get Alsco addressed today. You see
 2
    that in your next email, right above that?
 3
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
         PRICE:
                        All right. And so, then on page 63, this
 6
    is further -- further follow up on this email. You say
 7
    tentatively, I think I can get payment to you midweek next
    week, but tomorrow I can say better. You see that email?
 8
 9
         DEMROW:
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
                        All right. So that was on April 21st,
10
         PRICE:
11
    2021.
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
12
         DEMROW:
                        And then the next communication is from
13
         PRICE:
    Ms. Sellers, you know, about 3 weeks later, May 12th, 2021.
14
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
15
         DEMROW:
16
         PRICE:
                        And she says, "Frank, I wanted to check
    and get a status of the payment on this."
17
18
         DEMROW:
                        Okay.
                        Right?
19
         PRICE:
20
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
         DEMROW:
21
                        And then turn to page 6 -- NDVS62. This is
         PRICE:
    your response to Ms. Sellers. And you state, "I was just
22
23
    having an exchange with my boss about that very thing. Things
    have slowed down to a very slow pace, and a big part of that
24
```

is my fault for trying to cut corners and then having to go

1

25

PRICE:

back and correct things and thereby taking more time instead 1 of less. So, I apologize for that." Do you see that? 2 Yes, I do. 3 **DEMROW:** All right. And so, the reason that the 4 PRICE: 5 invoice was not paid sooner was because you were trying to cut corners and it -- it created a problem in processing the 6 invoice. And as a result, the vendor didn't get paid in a 7 timely manner? 8 9 **DEMROW:** No, that's not the whole story. The other story is that I, uh -- the, uh, head of the -- the cemetery, 10 11 uh, didn't want to pay -- didn't want them paid. Um, I can go into detail, but I know you guys don't want to hear this. But 12 it's a big long story because he -- I was trying to convince 13 him to approve the payment because it wasn't worth arguing 14 over, and he wouldn't approve it and he wouldn't return my 15 calls. Um, but it's a big story. There's more to it than this. 16 This is not just simply it, but I --17 18 PRICE: Okav. In the -- in the -- in the interest of --19 **DEMROW:** 20 of someone taking responsibility and -- and -- and telling her that I'm working on it, I-I decided summarize it to her that 21 22 way. 23 PRICE: All right. And you summarized that you cut 2.4 corners.

25

DEMROW:

Yes.

```
PRICE:
                        And that, that caused the delay?
 1
                        Yes. Yes.
         DEMROW:
 2
 3
         PRICE:
                         Okav, um.
                         I remember this.
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
         PRICE:
                         Uh, please turn to Exhibit C. Uh, I
 6
    believe you already testified to this before, but I just
 7
    wanted to make sure. So, you do admit to sending this email
    dated April 21st, 2021, to -- to your supervisor, Laurie
 8
 9
    Flannigan?
10
                         Yeah. Yeah.
         DEMROW:
11
         PRICE:
                        All right. And Laurie is your direct
    supervisor, correct?
12
13
         DEMROW:
                         Correct.
                         And at the time you sent this email, she
14
         PRICE:
15
    was a brand-new supervisor, right?
16
         DEMROW:
                         That's correct, yes.
                         Right. So, she'd been your supervisor,
17
         PRICE:
18
    what? Maybe a month? Maybe a little over a month.
                         A month and, uh, it was like 12 days.
19
         DEMROW:
20
                         All right. And you sent this email in a
         PRICE:
    response to a letter of instruction you received in which she
21
    was instructing you how a properly performed your job duties,
22
23
    correct?
                         Yes, that's correct.
24
         DEMROW:
```

That's all the questions I have.

25

PRICE:

```
DEMROW:
                        Um, I-I would only add to that, um, that
 1
    there was no -- there's no copy of a letter I sent her before
 2
    she started welcoming her to the team and -- and giving her
 3
   access to all <inaudible> -- but, <inaudible>.
 5
         PRICE:
                        Okay. I don't have any other questions.
                        Okay. Um, do you have any further -- any -
 6
         DUPREE:
 7
    - anything further you need to present or?
                        No, I don't. I'm -- I'm done with my
 8
         DEMROW:
   portion of the presentation. I don't have anything other than
    -- yeah, I --
10
11
         DUPREE:
                       Okay. That moves us to the state's case.
         PRICE:
                        All right. Uh, the state calls, uh, Ms.
12
   Laurie Flannigan as a witness. And I'm not sure where you want
13
    her to sit. And, uh, um,
14
15
                        You can go ahead and sit, uh, next to your
    counselor. I don't know if they can see you down there. But
16
    trust us, she is here. We see her.
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                            Right here?
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah.
19
20
         WEISS:
                        We can see her.
21
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                             Okay.
                        And the witnesses should have an exhibit
22
         PRICE:
23
   packet. <crosstalk>
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                             Thank you.
24
25
         DUPREE:
                       Okay. Uh, I believe you were here earlier
```

```
when I made everybody swear that they were gonna tell the
 1
    truth.
 2
         FLANNIGAN:
 3
                              Yes.
         DUPREE:
                        You're under oath. Please tell the truth.
 4
 5
    Go ahead with your case.
 6
                        All right. Uh, Brandon Price for the
         PRICE:
 7
    Department. Before I get started, I did want to ask, where is
    the camera for the people in Las Vegas? Is it over here?
 8
 9
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                             And the camera here is for here.
10
         PRICE:
                        Okay.
11
         UNIDETNIFIED:
                            And the camera for Las Vegas is
    actually on the end of the wall <inaudible>.
12
                   I think <inaudible> zoom in to who's talking.
13
         DUPREE:
    So, <inaudible>.
14
15
                        Yeah, the witness isn't even in the
         PRICE:
16
    camera.
                        Las Vegas tells us they can see her.
17
         DUPREE:
18
         WEISS:
                        We -- we can see the witness just fine.
                        All right. Uh, Ms. Flannigan, if you can,
19
         PRICE:
20
    please state and spell your name. My name is Laurie Flannigan.
    It's L-A-U-R-I-E F, as in Frank, L-A-N-N-I-G-A-N.
21
22
         PRICE:
                        Okay. And where do you work?
23
         FLANNIGAN:
                             The Nevada Department of Veteran
    Services.
24
```

25

PRICE:

And how long have you been employed there?

1	FLANNIGAN: Since March 8th, 2021.
2	PRICE: And what is your title for the department?
3	FLANNIGAN: I'm an Accountant Technician II.
4	PRICE: All right. And what are your job duties as
5	an Accountant Technician II?
6	FLANNIGAN: I supervise 3 Accounting Assistant
7	IIIs and handle higher accounting issues.
8	PRICE: Okay. What do you mean by "handle higher
9	accounting issues"?
10	FLANNIGAN: Um, I-I'm the pen for the second
11	approval on payments to vendors. Um, I handle stale claims.
12	And there's other duties I'm supposed to be doing, but I
13	haven't been able to <inaudible> those yet because of ongoing</inaudible>
14	accounting issues.
15	PRICE: Okay. And as part of your supervisory
16	duties, did you supervise Mr. Demrow?
17	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
18	PRICE: All right. And are you Mr. Demrow's
19	current supervisor?
20	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
21	PRICE: <inaudible> supervisor in 2021 during the</inaudible>
22	events that <inaudible> reprimand, right?</inaudible>
23	FLANNIGAN: Yes, I did.
24	PRICE: Um, can you explain, uh, what Mr. Demrow's
25	primary job responsibilities are?

1 FLANNIGAN: Primarily it's to process payments to vendors for the budget he's assigned and keep track of those 2 3 payments. Okay. And so, when you say process payment 4 PRICE: 5 to vendors, I mean, can you explain a little bit what that 6 means? 7 When we receive invoices, we have FLANNIGAN: requisitions that have the approvals on them that are required 8 to process the payment that they enter. His position is a PIN III approval in the Advantage System. And they also PIN III 10 approve the requisitions, which forwards them to me for PIN IV 11 approval. 12 Okay. So, you said that Mr. Demrow's 13 PRICE: responsibilities are -- is to pay invoices that are sent to 14 1.5 the department. So, you -- can you please briefly describe for the committee, um, what he is supposed to do to process the 16 invoices that, um, come through? 17 18 FLANNIGAN: When he receives an invoice, he is to look up the requisition, make sure the requisition has the 19 20 proper documentation and approvals attached, and then process 21 the payment. 22 Okay. And then you said that he is also PRICE: 23 responsible, excuse me, for reconciling his budget account. Can you explain what you mean by that? 24

25

FLANNIGAN:

Yes. The admin -- Department of

Administration has what's called an IBR report that we balance our transactions logs to. 2 Okay. Um, and so when -- when the 3 Department receives an invoice, um, do the invoices go 5 directly to Mr. Demrow or do they go to someone first? Explain for the committee how all that works? 6 7 Um, if they come in the mail, they're FLANNIGAN: opened by a receptionist and given to me and I distribute them to the Accounting Assistant III that handles the budget for them. And then we also have a finance email that most vendors 10 11 send their invoices and our statements directly to. And all 3 of the Accounting Assistant IIIs have access to that. And Mr. 12 Demrow himself asked to be set up that he received a copy of 13 every email to that email box to his personal e -- his email 14 so that he receives actually 2 copies of every email. 1.5 16 Okay. So, you have 3 Accounting Assistant IIIs. I'm guessing -- I mean, does the Department receive a 17 18 number of invoices from a large variety of different vendors? FLANNIGAN: 19 Yes. 20 And so, is the way it's set up is each PRICE: Accounting Assistant III is responsible for certain accounts 21 22 for paying those invoices? Yes. They're assigned budgets. 23 FLANNIGAN: Okay. 2.4 PRICE:

25

FLANNIGAN:

And that they're responsible for.

1	PRICE: All right. And so, Mr. Demrow has an	
2	assigned budget in which certain vendors send invoices to him?	
3	FLANNIGAN: Yes.	
4	PRICE: He is responsible for ensuring those	
5	invoices get paid in a timely manner. And he is also	
6	responsible for reconciling his budget account.	
7	FLANNIGAN: Yes.	
8	PRICE: Okay. Um, and is Mr. Demrow aware of, you	
9	know, what job responsibilities he has, in terms of paying	
10	invoices for the department?	
11	FLANNIGAN: Yes, he is. He's signed his work	
12	performance standards and he performs that duty.	
13	PRICE: All right. And has he received training on	
14	how to properly, um, pay invoices in a timely manner?	
15	FLANNIGAN: Yes.	
16	PRICE: And process invoices?	
17	FLANNIGAN: Both from the Controller's Office and	
18	State Purchasing Office.	
19	PRICE: Okay.	
20	FLANNIGAN: They're assigned trainings for this,	
21	to be authorized to process bills.	
22	PRICE: All right. And have you provided personal	
23	training to Mr. Demrow	
24	FLANNIGAN; Yes.	
25	PRICE: with respect to how to process	

invoices? FLANNIGAN: Myself and his previous supervisor 2 both trained him on the requisition system. 3 All right. Uh, do you recall, uh, giving 4 PRICE: 5 Mr. Demrow a task of reconciling his budget account in March 6 of 2021? 7 Yes, I do. FLANNIGAN: All right. And how did you communicate 8 PRICE: 9 that assignment to him? 10 I addressed it in an email to him. FLANNIGAN: 11 PRICE: All right. If you can turn to Exhibit E and, uh, page NDVS66 at the bottom. Um, do you see that email 12 from -- that's an email dated of March 30th at 8:24 AM from 13 you to Mr. Demrow. Is that the email in which you assigned, um 14 1.5 -- made that assignment to Mr. Demrow? 16 FLANNIGAN: Yes, it is. Okay. Um, did you give him a deadline to 17 PRICE: 18 complete the reconciliation task? Yes, I asked him to have it 19 FLANNIGAN: 20 reconciled by Monday. 21 All right. And did he work in the days PRICE: following that assignment? 22 23 FLANNIGAN: Yes, he did. Okay. And can you, uh, explain for the 24 PRICE:

committee in a little more detail, uh, what exactly the

assignment was and what that entailed? 1 FLANNIGAN: The assignment basically was to 2 match, to take the requisition, look at the requisitions that 3 are in our transaction log, and the ones that are paid, which 5 you can -- which would be from the IBR report -- to mark them as paid in the transaction log. 6 7 Okay. And is, uh -- was part of the rec-PRICE: reconciliation process all we -- also, um, did it involve, uh, recognizing when invoices had not been paid and -- and tracking those? 10 11 FLANNIGAN: Yes. That's one of the main reasons to reconcile is to make and -- to catch any missed payments. 12 13 PRICE: Okay. And when you gave Mr. Demrow the assignment, how did he respond to it? 14 15 Um, his email says, "I will do my FLANNIGAN: absolute best. I will give 101%." 16 All right. And then he -- he says that he 17 PRICE: 18 did not believe the task was possible. He told you that too, right? 19 20 Yes, he does. FLANNIGAN: 21 PRICE: Um, was the task possible to complete by the deadline that you provided to him? 22 23 FLANNIGAN: Yes, it was. Can you explain why -- why he would --24 PRICE:

should be able to do that in the time provided?

```
FLANNIGAN:
                          Um, it's not a very difficult thing
to do. It's very easy to sort -- I create an IBR that's
sortable. They can do it by budget. There are categories and
see every single payment made. And all he had to do was open
that up and compare it to his transaction log and he could see
which ones needed to be processed.
                    Right. Okay. Uh, did Mr. Demrow at any
     PRICE:
point ask for assistance to -- um, for anyone to perform his
other job duties so he could get this assignment done?
    FLANNIGAN:
                         No, he did not.
     PRICE:
                    All right. Um, could he have received some
assistance from a coworker if he needed it?
     FLANNIGAN:
                         Yes.
                    All right. Did Mr. Demrow complete the
     PRICE:
assignment within the deadline that you provided to him?
                         No, he did not.
    FLANNICAN:
                    All right. And at one point, did you
     PRICE:
follow up, um, to see if he had completed the assignment?
                         I followed up with him via email.
     FLANNIGAN:
It's not in this, but yes, I did.
                    All right. And when was that?
     PRICE:
                         Um, the following Monday afternoon.
     FLANNIGAN:
    PRICE:
                    Okay. And so that was the deadline that
you gave to him to --
```

Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FLANNIGAN:

```
PRICE:
                        -- complete the project? All right.
 1
                             On a day --
         FLANNIGAN:
 2
 3
         PRICE:
                        And then -- sorry.
                             I was -- on a daily basis during the
 4
         FLANNIGAN:
 5
    next 2 days, I emailed him also showing him how much progress
 6
   he had made.
 7
                        Okay. So, let's talk about that. You sent
         PRICE:
   him an email showing him how much progress he had made. Do you
    remember, uh, when you checked on the status at the deadline
    that you gave to him, how much progress he had made on the
10
11
   project at that time?
12
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Um, I think a copy of the spreadsheet
    I was keeping was on here, and he'd completed 56% -- well, he
13
    completed 4 -- yeah, 44% was completed.
14
15
                        All right. It looks like you're looking at
16
    a document.
                             Yes, I'm looking at page NDVS68.
17
         FLANNIGAN:
18
         PRICE:
                        Okay. And this document's titled
    Requisition Reconciliation Tracking Budget 2560. So, what is
19
20
    this document?
21
                             This was how I was keeping track of
         FLANNIGAN:
   how -- what his progress was and how much of the transaction
22
23
    log was not reconciled.
                        Okay. And so, on that Monday when you
24
         PRICE:
25
    checked, you determined that he had reconciled how much of his
```

1 budget? Um, 56% was unreconciled, so that 2 FLANNIGAN: would be 44% was not reconciled --3 PRICE: 4 Okay. Was reconciled. 5 FLANNIGAN: 6 PRICE: Um, did you have any communications, uh, 7 with Mr. Demrow about him not completing the assignment at that point? 8 9 FLANNIGAN: Yes, I did. And I asked him to keep working on it. 10 Okay. So, you gave him additional time to 11 PRICE: work on the assignment? 12 13 Yes, I did. FLANNIGAN: 14 PRICE: All right. And, uh, when did you check on the status of the assignment again? 1.5 I was checking on it weekly. Those 16 FLANNIGAN: aren't included in this. But the final check was on May 13th 17 and his transaction log for those categories was only 52% was 18 -- 52% unreconciled. So that would -- it increased to 48% rec 19 20 -- was reconciled, I mean, <laugh>. 21 PRICE: Right. The -- yeah. 22 FLANNIGAN: 23 PRICE: Okay. So --I'm sorry. 24 FLANNIGAN:

The second time that you checked on the

25

PRICE:

```
status of the assignment, that was May 13th, 2021. Well, I
 1
    guess you said you --
 2
                              That was the final time I --
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
                        You checked on it weekly, but you noted
 4
         PRICE:
 5
    how much he had completed by May 13th, 2021.
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 6
 7
         PRICE:
                        And how much -- and you said you
    discovered that 52% of his budget account was reconciled?
 8
 9
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Unreconciled.
                        Unreconciled. And so, the progress
10
         PRICE:
11
    actually went backwards?
                              Yes, because --
12
         FLANNIGAN:
                        It appears, which when I first looked at
13
         PRICE:
    it didn't make any sense to me. So, can you explain to the
14
1.5
    committee how he could be working on an assign -- an
16
    assignment, but the progress -- he wa -- he was losing
    progress as he -- as more time went on instead of gaining
17
18
    progress on the assignment?
19
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Because there was more payments still
20
    being made and not reconciled.
21
         PRICE:
                        And so -- so he received this assignment
    to reconcile the budget, and as he was doing that, more
22
23
    invoices were coming in. So, he then had more, I guess,
    information to reconcile?
2.4
```

Yes.

25

FLANNIGAN:

PRICE: Because as he was doing the assignment, 1 more invoices were coming through? 2 3 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Okay. But he wasn't keeping up with the 4 PRICE: 5 task. And on that May 13th date, had he com -- he obviously didn't complete the assignment, correct? 6 7 No, he did not. FLANNIGAN: All right. Um, did he give you any valid PRICE: 8 9 excuse as to why he didn't complete the assignment? He said that he was too busy with 10 FLANNIGAN: 11 problems. Okay. Was he too busy at work to get -- so PRICE: 12 that he couldn't complete the assignment? 13 FLANNIGAN: Not from what I observed, no. 14 Uh, all right. Let -- let's talk about the 15 PRICE: audit that you conducted in May, uh, of 2021. Um, so can you 16 explain to the EMC what that audit was about and why you 17 18 conducted that? Um, Mr. Demrow was out of the office 19 FLANNIGAN: 20 for a while, so, um, his team members and I had to gather in invoices from his desk to process because we were getting 21 22 multiple vendors contacting us daily about payments that are 23 past due. So, I was asked to do a small audit quickly to see what the problems were and to try and note which vendors we --24

were critical in getting to -- needing to be paid.

```
PRICE:
                        All right. So, when you say you were
 1
    trying to determine which invoices were critical, I mean, what
 2
    -- what exactly are you referring to?
 3
                             Um, vendors refusing to ship product
 4
         FLANNIGAN:
 5
    -- medical products, um, services being shut down. Those type
 6
    of items were the most critical.
 7
                        Okay. So, you were looking at what
         PRICE:
    invoices he had received and what invoices had not been
 9
    processed or paid in a timely manner?
                             Yes. And which,
10
         FLANNIGAN:
11
         PRICE:
                        Okay. And can you please take a look at
    Exhibit F as in Frank?
12
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Okay.
                        Do you recognize this document?
14
         PRICE:
15
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes. This was a quick, um,
    spreadsheet I created from -- after his team members
16
    alphabetized all the invoices they found in his desk that I
17
    was trying to -- that I needed to -- was asked by my
18
    supervisor to provide, to see how bad we needed to work to get
19
20
    things caught up.
21
                        Okay. And so, this is a spreadsheet you
         PRICE:
22
    created as part of the audit. What exactly does the
23
    spreadsheet show?
                             It shows, um, the vendor's name,
24
         FLANNIGAN:
```

their account number, invoice numbers, um, when we received

the invoice or the statement, and the amount we owed. And I 1 tried to mark in there which ones were paid, and which ones 2 weren't paid. But I didn't have enough time because we all 3 needed to help start paying these vendors. 5 PRICE: All right. But in the course of your audit, you discovered there were several invoices that had not 6 7 been paid? 8 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 9 PRICE: By Mr. Demrow. All right. How many vendors had issues with respect to invoices that they had sent the 10 11 Department? More than 20. FLANNIGAN: 12 More than --13 PRICE: I just did a quick synopsis for -- so 14 FLANNIGAN: that my supervisors could see. 15 16 Okay. Um, Mr. Demrow, uh, testified earlier about stale claims. He said there was nothing -- I 17 18 mean, I-I believe he said there was nothing he could do with respect to stale claims if they were older invoices. Is that 19 20 true? Is there --21 There is something they can do. They FLANNIGAN: can bring it to my attention and bring me the invoices so that 22 23 I can file for -- with the Governor's Finance Office to get them paid. 24

Okay. Did Mr. Demrow ever approach you

25

PRICE:

```
with respect to any of the stale claims that you found in your
 1
    audit, um, in order to correct them and get them paid?
 2
                              No, he did not.
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
 4
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Uh, when you conducted your audit,
 5
    do you know how far back in time some of these invoices went
    that had gone unpaid?
 6
 7
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Um, just from some of these, I mean,
    some of them went back a year or more.
 8
 9
         PRICE:
                        Okay.
                              That these vendors had been waiting
10
         FLANNIGAN:
11
    for payment.
12
                        All right. Uh, please take a look at
         PRICE:
    Exhibit D, um, page NDVS10. Uh, do you recognize this
13
    document?
14
                              Yes, I do.
15
         FLANNIGAN:
         PRICE:
                        All right. What is it?
16
                              Um, this was a log of some of the
17
         FLANNIGAN:
18
    vendors that we'd found that were the most critical.
                        So, when you say vendors that we found
19
20
    that were most critical, what do you mean by that?
21
                              By looking through the documents that
         FLANNIGAN:
    we found and reviewing Mr. Demrow's budget, these were the
22
23
    most critical payments we needed to get done. And I was asked
    to document them.
2.4
25
                        Okay. Um, please look at Exhibit D, page
         PRICE:
```

NDVS 13. This document is a notice we received FLANNIGAN: 2 from Fernley False Alarm Reduction Program. 3 All right. And is that an invoice that Mr. 4 PRICE: 5 Demrow is responsible for ensuring that it gets processed and paid correctly? 6 7 Yes, it is. FLANNIGAN: All right. And what is the bill from 8 PRICE: 9 Fernley False Alarm Reduction Program showing? 10 FLANNIGAN: Uh, this was a 60 day delinquent 11 notice and a notice that our -- any alarms from our alarm system would not be forwarded to the Sheriff's Department. 12 13 PRICE: All right. Because of nonpayment. 14 FLANNIGAN: And I believe in the second paragraph, it 15 PRICE: says, "due to the delinquency of your alarm account, this 16 address is now in a suspended status"? 17 18 FLANNIGAN: Yes. All right. And so, was, uh, the alarm 19 PRICE: 20 service for the Department placed in an -- in a suspended status as a result of Mr. Demrow's failure to perform his job 21 22 duties? 23 FLANNIGAN: Yes, it was. Okay. And can, um -- and that's just 24 PRICE:

simply because he didn't ensure that the, uh, invoice was paid

1 in a timely manner? Um, he did pay this invoice, but he 2 FLANNIGAN: did not put the invoice number on the check. So, when the 3 vendor received it, they did not know what to apply it to. So 5 it was sent back to the treasurer's office, and then we were 6 notified of it. 7 Okay. So, the -- as a result of Mr. Demrow PRICE: providing inaccurate information, the process was delayed. And 9 then what happened after that? FLANNIGAN: Uh, after that I requested that he 10 11 reissue the payment several times, and he did not do it. Another team member had to do it. 12 Okay. Um, if you can please look at 13 PRICE: Exhibit D, page NDVS 14 to 15. Uh, do you recognize this 14 document? 1.5 16 FLANNIGAN: Uh, yes. This was a past due invoice that Mr. Demrow received on March 16th. 17 18 PRICE: And what was the company for -- that sent the invoice? 19 20 It's great -- Great Basin Monuments FLANNIGAN: 21 and Engraving. 22 And can you explain to the committee what PRICE: 23 kind of, uh, services or products they provide to the department? 24

25

FLANNIGAN:

They provide us with engraved bricks

```
and plaques for the cemeteries for the grave markers and such.
 1
                        All right. And what does this bill show --
         PRICE:
 2
   or this invoice show?
 3
 4
         FLANNIGAN:
                        Uh, that there was items on it that
 5
    did not get paid.
                        All right. And did -- did this account
 6
         PRICE:
 7
    fall within Mr. Demrow's budget?
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes, it was.
 9
         PRICE:
                        And it was Mr. Demrow's responsibility to
   make sure that this invoice was paid properly?
10
11
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes.
         PRICE:
                        And did he make sure that the invoice was
12
   paid properly in a timely manner?
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                            No. One of his team members had to
14
   process the payment.
15
16
                        Um, all right. Please take a look at
         PRICE:
    Exhibit D, page 24. Uh, do you recognize this document?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes. This was an email that was
    forwarded to me from a vendor, High Desert Internet Service.
19
20
                        And what is High -- what service does High
         PRICE:
   Desert Internet Service provide for the Department?
21
22
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Uh, they provide internet service for
23
    one of the veteran service officers offices.
                        All right. And what does this invoice
24
         PRICE:
25
   show?
```

```
FLANNIGAN:
                             It shows that we were late. The --
 1
    that the -- they hadn't been paid since February and that our
 2
    service was cut off.
 3
                        Okay. So, the failure to ensure that this
 4
         PRICE:
 5
    invoice was paid actually resulted in internet service being
 6
    cut off for the Department?
 7
        FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes.
                        All right. And did this invoice fall under
 8
        PRICE:
 9
   Mr. Demrow's budget account?
                             Yes, it did.
10
        FLANNIGAN:
11
        PRICE:
                        And so, was Mr. Demrow responsible for
    ensuring that that invoice was paid in a timely manner?
12
13
        FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes, it is.
        PRICE:
                        And did he do that?
14
                             No, he did not.
15
        FLANNIGAN:
16
        PRICE:
                        All right. Please take a look at Exhibit
   D, page 51. Do you recognize that document?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes, it is -- this is a delinquency
   notice from the City of Fernley for the water service to the
19
20
   Veteran's Cemetery.
21
                All right. And, um, what does this invoice
        PRICE:
    show?
22
23
        FLANNIGAN:
                             Um, it shows that we have a shutoff
    date and that they need to receive payment.
24
25
         PRICE:
                        All right. So, the depart --
```

```
FLANNIGAN:
                             Before then.
 1
         PRICE:
                        So, the department was in delinquent
 2
    status because invoices hadn't been paid in a timely manner?
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 4
 5
         PRICE:
                        And does -- did this invoice fall under
 6
   Mr. Demrow's budget account?
 7
                             Yes, it does.
         FLANNIGAN:
 8
         PRICE:
                        And was Mr. Demrow responsible for
 9
    ensuring that this invoice was paid in a timely manner?
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes, it does.
10
                        And did he do that?
11
         PRICE:
12
         FLANNIGAN:
                             No, he did not. A team member had to
13
   process this payment.
14
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Um, please take a look at Exhibit D,
   page 64. And at the bottom of page 64, that's an email from --
15
    that we discussed earlier from Dawn Sellers to Mr. Demrow. Um,
16
    can you explain what kind of company Alsco is?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Um, they provide weekly, um, garment
    and rugs to the cemeteries.
19
20
                        All right.
         PRICE:
21
                             And we were billed weekly and with
         FLANNIGAN:
    <inaudible>. And generally the cemetery superintendent would
22
23
    send all the invoices at once at the end of the month to be
    processed for payment.
24
```

Okay. And, and what happened with these

25

PRICE:

1 invoices? Uh, Mr. Demrow did not pay them. 2 FLANNIGAN: All right. Um, if you could turn to the 3 PRICE: bottom of page 62. That's email correspondence between Mr. 4 5 Demrow and it looks like Dawn Sellers, who's a rep of Alsco. Um, and he mentions here that, um -- he said he had a 6 7 discussion with my boss, I'm assuming that's you, about these Alsco invoices. Do you recall having a discussion with him 9 about those invoices? Uh, I don't actually. 10 FLANNIGAN: 11 PRICE: Okay. FLANNIGAN: 'Cause it was well over a year ago. 12 Okay. And so, he says in this email that, 13 PRICE: um, "things have slowed down to a very slow pace, and a big 14 part of that is my fault for trying to cut corners and then 15 having to go back and correct things, thereby taking more time 16 instead of less." Do you know anything about that? 17 18 FLANNIGAN: I know that Mr. Demrow frequently doesn't complete the requisition so that it moves forward to 19 20 the PIN VI status, so that I see it and note that there's a 21 payment to approve. 22 Okay. And so, uh, do you know if that's PRICE: 23 what he's referring to about cutting corners? Or, I mean --It could be also, um, failing to make 2.4 FLANNIGAN:

corrections when I asked him to -- to payments, whether it's

the tr -- uh, coding error or anything like that. 1 Okay. And then other than the invoices 2 PRICE: that we discussed, I mean, during your audit, were there more 3 invoices than just these, uh, that were past due and hadn't 5 been paid, um, that Mr. Demrow was responsible for paying? Yes, there is. 6 FLANNIGAN: 7 Okay. Um, I mean, were there a lot more PRICE: invoices or a few more invoices? 8 Well, over a hundred. 9 FLANNIGAN: 10 PRICE: How many? 11 FLANNIGAN: Well over a hundred. PRICE: Okay. All right. Uh, um, so it sounds like 12 Mr. Demrow was having problems performing his job duties. Did 13 you at some point make a determination as to what the reasons 14 could possibly be for why he couldn't perform his job duties 15 in a timely manner? 16 Yes, I did. Just from observances and 17 FLANNIGAN: 18 from frequent reports and emails sent to me that Mr. Demrow seemed to spend a lot of other time on matters that didn't 19 20 have anything to do with his job duties and liked to expend excessive time working in programs that did not have to do 21 with his job duties. 22 23 PRICE: Okay. Um, finding extra uses for programs 24 FLANNIGAN:

and stuff.

```
PRICE:
                        Okay. And so, when you concluded that, did
 1
    you attempt to take any action to hopefully correct that
 2
    issue?
 3
                              Yes. I tried to keep Mr. Demrow
 4
         FLANNIGAN:
 5
    focused on his job duties and not extracurricular activities.
                        All right. Did you provide him with
 6
         PRICE:
 7
    additional training?
                             Yes, I did.
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
 9
         PRICE:
                        All right. And then to address those
    issues, did you also issue a letter of instruction in April of
10
    2021?
11
                              Yes, I did. And just to try and keep
12
         FLANNIGAN:
    him from expressing his views to -- sending out mass emails to
13
    multiple team members concerning his views on things, or how
14
    he thinks something should be, or exaggerating a problem that
1.5
16
    was easily fixed into a major discussion.
                        All right. And what did Mr. Demrow do
17
         PRICE:
18
    after you issued him a letter of instruction?
         FLANNIGAN:
                             He responded with an email
19
20
    threatening me and claiming that I was bullying him and
    telling lies and restricting his First Amendment rights.
21
22
                        Okay. So, is that the email that's in
         PRICE:
23
    Exhibit C?
                              Yes. Then he also followed up on that
2.4
         FLANNIGAN:
```

email the next day by rewriting my letter of instruction and

forging my signature onto it. 1 2 Okay. So, you issued him a letter of PRICE: instruction. He sends you this email. He rewrote the letter of 3 instruction and then provided it to you with your signature on it? 5 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 6 7 PRICE: So, he changed the wording of the letter of instruction. And then you -- this is the email that he sent 8 9 you. He called -- he said you were bullying him, right? 10 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 11 PRICE: Um, he said you were trying to intimidate him. Uh, were you bullying or intimidating him? 12 13 FLANNIGAN: No, I was not. Uh, what were you trying to do? 14 PRICE: I was trying to follow up on trying 15 FLANNIGAN: to keep him focused on his job duties. 16 And, uh, in this email, he also says that 17 PRICE: 18 you were creating an adversarial relationship. Is that what you were doing? 19 20 No, it was not. FLANNIGAN: 21 Okay. And then if you look at the third PRICE: paragraph of his email, he says, "now, if you insist on 22 23 continuing the adversarial relationship, I can assure you, regardless of your authority, you'll find me to be a much more 24

than a worthy adversary." How did you interpret that

1 statement? 2 FLANNIGAN: I was scared. PRICE: Why? 3 I've never been approached that way 4 FLANNIGAN: 5 before. I've worked in OTR Tire business, I've been a construction project manager, and I've never received such a 6 7 threat. Okay. Um, in your opinion, was the email 8 PRICE: 9 professional? 10 Not at all. FLANNIGAN: Was it courteous? 11 PRICE: 12 FLANNIGAN: No. And so, it sounds like you took that 13 PRICE: statement to be a threatening statement, right? 14 Yes, I did. 15 FLANNIGAN: All right. Um, how did that email make you 16 PRICE: feel being a brand-new supervisor at the department? 17 18 FLANNIGAN: I had a lot of anxiety issues after that. I sought a lot of guidance from my supervisors in HR on 19 20 how to address this. Because after that, just little comments were constantly put into emails that were degrading and 21 insulting, and I did not want to -- I could not work under 22 23 this. All right. And so, after you received this 24 PRICE: 25 email, what did you do?

```
1
         FLANNIGAN:
                             I went to HR and my supervisor, and
    we discussed that it didn't seem that Mr. Demrow was taking my
 2
    instructions seriously and that we needed to proceed in the
 3
   progressive discipline and do a written reprimand.
 5
         PRICE:
                        Okay. So, you met with hr. Uh, who did you
 6
   meet with at HR to discuss it?
 7
                             Corey and, um, Mr. Green -- Kurt
         FLANNIGAN:
    Green.
 9
         PRICE:
                        Okay. So, you guys decided to issue the
   written reprimand. That's the written reprimand that's on page
10
11
   B?
12
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Yes.
                        All right. And what was the basis,
13
         PRICE:
    generally, for the written reprimand?
14
15
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Mr. Demrow's behavioral issues, um,
    affecting his job completions.
16
                        Okay. What about the assignment that you
17
         PRICE:
18
    gave him, uh, in March to reconcile his budget?
         FLANNIGAN:
                             That was also part of this because he
19
20
    did not take me assigning him duties seriously.
21
                        Okay. And, uh -- and was it also, uh,
         PRICE:
    issued because of the, uh, discourteous email that he sent to
22
23
   you?
                             Yes.
2.4
         FLANNIGAN:
25
         PRICE:
                    On April 21st, 2021?
```

1	FLANNIGAN: Yes.		
2	PRICE: All right. That's, um so did you		
3	determine that in failing to reconcile his budget within		
4	the deadline you told him to, in sending the email, um, and in		
5	failing to pay invoices that, uh, were under his budget		
6	account, did you determine that all those things violated		
7	department policy?		
8	FLANNIGAN: Yes, I did.		
9	PRICE: Okay. And that's what justified the		
10	issuance of the formal discipline?		
11	FLANNIGAN: Yes.		
12	PRICE: Okay. Um, at some point, did you become		
13	aware that Mr. Demrow filed a grievance to contest this		
14	written reprimand?		
15	FLANNIGAN: Yes, I was notified by an email from		
16	NEATS.		
17	PRICE: Okay. And, um, did you have any		
18	involvement in the grievance process?		
19	FLANNIGAN: Yes. I responded to the first step of		
20	it.		
21	PRICE: So, you responded to the Step 1 at the		
22	Step 1 level?		
23	FLANNIGAN: Yes.		
24	PRICE: All right. Uh, in the grievance, Mr.		
25	Demrow states the written reprimand is misleading. Is there		

```
anything in the written reprimand that's misleading?
 1
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No.
 2
                         In the grievance, he states that it's full
 3
         PRICE:
    of exaggerations. Is there anything in the written reprimand
 4
 5
    that was, uh, full -- an exaggeration?
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No.
 6
 7
                        Uh, he states that the, uh, facts
         PRICE:
    contained in the written rec -- reprimand are inaccurate. Um,
 8
 9
    is there anything in there that's not accurate?
         FLANNIGAN:
10
                              No.
11
         PRICE:
                        All right. Uh, he also states in his
    grievance that the written reprimand -- reprimand contains
12
    half-truths. Do you agree with that statement?
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No, I do not.
14
                        All right. He also says in his grievance
15
         PRICE:
    that the written reprimand contains outright lies. Do you
16
    agree with that statement?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No, I do not.
                        So, there's nothing in the written
19
         PRICE:
20
    reprimand that constituted an outright lie?
21
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No.
                        All right. And you said you provided a
22
         PRICE:
23
    response. How did you respond to his grievance?
                              On Page NDVS 2, my response was "the
24
         FLANNIGAN:
25
    information and the reprimand -- written reprimand is stated
```

```
accurately. As such, it will not be removed."
 1
 2
         PRICE:
                        Um, you heard Mr. Demrow, during his oral
    argument, accuse the Department of having a mob mentality and
 3
    trying to gang up on him. Were you ever trying to gang up on
 5
   Mr. Demrow?
                              Not at all.
 6
         FLANNIGAN:
 7
         PRICE:
                        And were you guys ever trying to attack
   Mr. Demrow?
 8
 9
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No.
                        As a department? And, um, I mean, what is
10
         PRICE:
11
    it that you were trying to do in issuing this written
12
    reprimand?
                              We were trying to get him to
13
         FLANNIGAN:
    concentrate on his job duties and be a part and help the
14
15
    department.
16
         PRICE:
                        All right. I pass the witness.
                        The witness has been passed, sir.
17
         DUPREE:
18
         DEMROW:
                        I -- can I cross.
                        Yeah.
19
         DUPREE:
20
                        Okay. Um, the first thing I want to talk
         DEMROW:
    about is, uh, what is required for me to pay. When an invoice
21
22
    comes in, what do I need to pay the invoice?
23
         FLANNIGAN:
                              You need --
                        Like what do I -- what do I -- what do I
24
         DEMROW:
```

have to have? I can't just write a check once the invoice

comes, right?

1.5

FLANNIGAN: No, you cannot write a check. You have to have proper backup that the service or items were received. You have to have proper authorization to spend that money.

DEMROW: So basically, I have to have the -someone has to have signed off they have ordered the product.

Someone has to signed off they receive the product. Someone
has to have signed off that they confirmed the receipt of the received product before I can pay it.

FLANNIGAN: If it's a product, yes.

DEMROW: Okay. And if it's a service, I -- so there could potentially be 3 different people, but there's usually only 2 -- but potentially 3 different people before I can pay it. Right? All right. So just 'cause there's an invoice, that doesn't mean that I can pay it if there's got -- that invoice has to have those 3 approvals, right?

FLANNIGAN: Yes. And we usually receive the invoice about a week after we've received item -- items.

DEMROW: Uh, right. You would -- you -- you -- that would usually be -- because they would sign off on ordering it when they ordered it, right? And then they would -- so that should be signed off when we get the invoice, right?

FLANNIGAN: No ordering would happen when the person places the order.

1 **DEMROW:** I didn't say that? Okay, sorry. I must have misspoke. So, they would -- they would order it and then 2 they would, uh -- then they would sign off as ordered when 3 they ordered it. So, it should be done before the invoice even 5 gets to me, right? FLANNIGAN: Yes. 6 7 Right. And then when the product gets DEMROW: there, someone will sign off because we have a -- a system 8 that keeps track of these approvals. And now -- so those 3 things have to be done before the invoice can be paid, right? 10 11 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Now, the 2 superintendents of the **DEMROW:** 12 cemetery, they didn't have a reputation for being difficult on 13 getting those approvals? 14 Not at all. 15 FLANNIGAN: Not at all? 16 **DEMROW:** No. I frequently contacted them and 17 FLANNIGAN: 18 asked them to receive requisition and had no problem. You -- you frequently contacted them? 19 **DEMROW:** 20 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Oh. Why didn't I? 21 DEMROW: I don't know. 22 FLANNIGAN: 23 **DEMROW:** So -- so if you frequently contacted them, then why -- why would you have to contact them if they already 24

1 FLANNIGAN: While you were out, I had to help pay your bills. 2 But they didn't do those things by 3 DEMROW: themselves? You had to call them and tell 'em to do 'em? 4 5 FLANNIGAN: On a couple occasions, yes. 6 Yes, on a couple occasions. Probably more **DEMROW:** 7 than a couple. Um, now, uh, let's take a look at page 66 of the Exhibit, I want to say -- I'm sorry, let me -- Exhibit 66 of Exhibit E. Now, you had said that, um, I didn't ask for any help and that, um, I -- can -- can you look at that email? Uh, 10 11 can you just read that first paragraph there? 12 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Um, that this -- starts out at "but I told 13 DEMROW: Chris"? 14 Uh-huh. <affirmative>. 15 FLANNIGAN: 16 **DEMROW:** Can you read that? You want me to read it out loud? 17 FLANNIGAN: 18 DEMROW: Do you mind? "But I told Chris a long time ago 19 FLANNIGAN: 20 that until both cemeteries are completely on board doing their recs, receiving their orders, et cetera, and the payments are 21 caught up, I will not be able to handle the workload of 22 23 Interments and paying the bills and reconciling and miscellaneous. Karen and yourself might be capable of it 24

because you both have much more experience in payables than I

1	do. But I have been	clear about this matter. It is too much,
2	and it has been too	much since the first time I suggested the
3	interments be given	to Aaron many, many months ago."
4	DEMROW:	Okay. When are the interments?
5	FLANNIGAN:	That's keeping track of documents on
6	a spreadsheet.	
7	DEMROW:	Okay, so that's another job.
8	FLANNIGAN:	It's a job duty, yes.
9	DEMROW:	So, I did more than just paid the bills. I
10	also	
11	FLANNIGAN:	You helped track the interments.
12	DEMROW:	Right.
13	FLANNIGAN:	That was
14	DEMROW:	So that how much time did that take
15	them?	
16	FLANNIGAN:	How much time did what take them?
17	DEMROW:	For me to do the interments.
18	FLANNIGAN:	That was maybe a half an hour a week.
19	DEMROW:	A half an hour a week, you say?
20	FLANNIGAN:	Yes, I've done 'em.
21	DEMROW:	Okay. Did it vary though, from week to
22	week?	
23	FLANNIGAN:	Depending on how much. Sometimes
24	there would be a mon	nth where none came in.
25	DEMROW:	Okay. So, I had more than one job?

```
FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 1
 2
         DEMROW:
                        Okay, um.
                              That was keeping track of the
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
    revenue.
 4
 5
         DEMROW:
                        Okay. So, now the other thing I wanted to
 6
    ask about, my 2 jobs, you said were, um, paying the invoices
    and reconciling, right?
 7
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 9
         DEMROW:
                        Which one's more important?
                              Paying the bills.
10
         FLANNIGAN:
11
         DEMROW:
                         Paying the bills, right. So, have you ever
    instructed to me to not worry about reconciliation, get the
12
    bills paid?
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                              With past dues, yes.
14
                        Yes. Yes. Okay. Um, now -- and I'm sorry,
15
         DEMROW:
    I should ask, do you want to get something to drink? I know
16
17
    you get thirsty.
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No, I'm fine.
                        Okay. Now, after I have those 3 things to
19
         DEMROW:
20
    pay the invoice, can I just pay the invoice then?
21
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                         I can? You don't have to approve it?
22
         DEMROW:
23
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Well, you have to enter it in first
   before I can approve it.
24
25
         DEMROW:
                        So then before any invoice gets paid, you
```

```
have to approve it. I can't just pay the invoice by myself.
 1
                              Your part is just to enter it in
 2
         FLANNIGAN:
    Advantage and apply your approval.
 3
                        So nothing could -- gets paid until it
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
    goes through you first.
         FLANNIGAN:
                              We have 3 other PIN IVs in the
 6
 7
    agency.
                        Well, that -- that's true. So -- so
 8
         DEMROW:
    someone else above me would have to pay it. So, in theory, if
    I paid everything, it wouldn't show until someone else
10
11
    approved it, right?
12
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        So, okay. Okay. So, there's the 3 things I
13
         DEMROW:
    need before, and then there's the one thing I need after. And
14
1.5
    without all those, that invoice is gonna show unpaid, right?
16
         FLANNIGAN:
                              It's not gonna show up on the IBR.
                        Right. Okay. Um, before you started at
17
         DEMROW:
18
    NDVS, did I send you an email?
                              I don't recall.
19
         FLANNIGAN:
20
                        You don't remember? Okay, fair enough. Um,
         DEMROW:
    uh, thank you. I'm done with this witness. I don't have
21
22
    anymore cross.
23
         DUPREE:
                        Okay, um.
                        Uh, Chair, Brandon Price for the
24
         PRICE:
25
    Department. I have some, uh, redirect questions, please.
```

DUPREE: Okay. 1 Um, so Mr. Demrow asked you questions 2 PRICE: about a -- a 3 step process for paying invoices for products. 3 Is there a different process for him to pay invoices for 4 5 services? FLANNIGAN: Yes. 6 7 PRICE: Um, and can you explain to the committee what the different -- the differences between the two --8 9 FLANNIGAN: Services, like utilities and such, are due upon receipt. They do not require anyone else's, 10 11 besides the ASO's, approval to spend the money. There's no other approval process. There's no receiving or verifying. If 12 the lights turn on, you have electricity, you're paying for 13 it. It's a simpler process. 14 15 And as part of Mr. Demrow's budget, did he PRICE: receive a large number of invoices that pertained to services 16 and not products? 17 18 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Okay. And when you conducted your audit, 19 PRICE: 20 did you find that many of the invoices that went unpaid 21 involved services and not products? 22 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 23 PRICE: And those invoices, uh, did not require the 3-step process that Mr. Demrow just asked about? 24

No, they did not.

25

FLANNIGAN:

1 PRICE: Okay. Um, when you conducted the audit and discovered a large number of invoices that hadn't been paid 2 under Mr. Demrow's budget, um, were those, uh, invoices not 3 paid because they were awaiting your approval? 4 5 FLANNIGAN: No. 6 Okay. So, none of those invoices had --PRICE: 7 had gone unpaid because you didn't approve the payment of the invoice? 8 9 FLANNIGAN: No, they did not. Uh, who -- who -- when a 10 PRICE: 11 technician receives an invoice for a product and they, uh, 12 need to obtain backup information, whose responsibility is it to ensure that they do actually get the backup information to 13 ensure that the invoices are paid? 14 15 It's the Accounting Assistant III's FLANNIGAN: position. 16 Okay. And so that would be Mr. Demrow's 17 PRICE: 18 position? 19 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 20 Okay. And with respect to the, uh, PRICE: invoices that had not been paid, if there were any issues with 21 22 respect to the authorizations that he needed, uh, did you find 23 that he regularly followed up in order to obtain the necessary authorizations? 2.4

25

FLANNIGAN:

No, it does not seem that he would

continue -- he would follow up with people. 1 All right. Mr. Demrow asked you questions PRICE: 2 about Interments and you said that he had other job duties 3 other than paying invoices. Did other employees in Mr. 4 5 Demrow's same position, um -- did they have other duties other than just paying invoices? 6 7 FLANNIGAN: Yes, they do. 8 PRICE: Okay. And are those other employees able 9 to perform all their job duties satisfactorily, and are they able to pay invoices within a timely manner? 10 11 FLANNIGAN: Yes, they are. PRICE: That's all the questions I have. 12 13 DUPREE: Okay. Um, with that, um, I-I think we should probably go to closing statements. Uh, Mr. Demrow your --14 Uh, Chair, this is Brandon Price for the 15 PRICE: Department. I still have 2 more witnesses and I need to call. 16 I'm sorry. I thought you were done. Go 17 DUPREE: 18 ahead with your next witness. Sorry. All right. Uh, thank you, uh, Chair. 19 PRICE: 20 Can we take a quick bathroom break? **DEMROW:** Yeah. 21 DUPREE: Is that possible? 22 **DEMROW:** 23 DUPREE: Let's do a, uh -- it's 11:05 now. Let's go ahead and come back in at 11:15. Okay? So, 10-minute recess. 24

Thank you.

25

PRICE:

UNIDENTIFIED: Especially since the DAG said --1 The joys of public meeting, following the 2 DUPREE: rules. It is, that way everybody gets their chances to say 3 something. I would rather listen to any number of comment, but 5 -- than not have anybody have a chance to say something they need to say. 6 7 It keeps you out of trouble. UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah. Well, I don't usually need any help DUPREE: 8 9 getting into trouble myself, so. Appreciate that. Thank you. <inaudible>. 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED: Hello? <crosstalk>. DUPREE: Okay, Now that <inaudible> has joined us. 12 We're gonna work on calling this meeting back -- call this 13 meeting back in order. Is everybody in place down south? 14 Yes, we are. 1.5 UNIDENTIFIED: All right. Let's get this show back on the 16 DUPREE: road. We are back in order, the EMC on September 22, '22. It 17 18 is 11:18 and we're left off with the state, um, presenting its case, your witness had just finished, and you were gonna call 19 20 another one. Uh, yes. Thank you, Chair. Brandon Price 21 PRICE: 22 for the Department of Veterans Services. Uh, we call, uh,

DUPREE: Mr. Kim, were you here when I swore in the other witness -- or when I made everybody take an oath

Donald Kurt Green as a witness.

23

24

1	<pre><inaudible>?</inaudible></pre>		
2	GREEN: I	I swear.	
3	DUPREE: C	Okay. You're swearing to tell the truth,	
4	the whole truth and a	all that?	
5	GREEN:	Yes, absolutely.	
6	DUPREE: C	Okay. Could I get you please sign the, um	
7	the		
8	GREEN: I	I've signed.	
9	DUPREE: C	Dh, you did?	
10	GREEN:	Yes, I yeah.	
11	DUPREE:	Have a seat then.	
12	PRICE: N	Mr. Green, can you please state and spell	
13	your name?		
14	GREEN: U	Jm, Donald Kurt Green. D-O-N-A-L-D K-U-R-T	
15	G-R-E-E-N.		
16	PRICE: A	And then, if you can, when you're	
17	testifying, just remember to keep your voice up so everybody		
18	can hear you. I'd appreciate it. Uh, where do you work?		
19	GREEN:	Jm, at the, at the time this this	
20	reprimand was given,	I-I worked at Nevada Department of	
21	Veteran Services as t	their Executive Officer.	
22	PRICE:	Okay. Uh, but you're no longer employed	
23	there?		
24	GREEN:	I am not.	
25	PRICE: C	Okay. Where do you work now?	

```
GREEN:
                        Um, Washoe County Sheriff's office.
 1
         PRICE:
                        Okay. But at the time of this grievance,
 2
    you worked for the Department. Uh, do you, um -- what dates
 3
    did you work for the Department of Veterans Services?
 5
         GREEN:
                        Uh, I was, uh, there from June of 2020
    until January of '22.
 6
 7
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Um, and then you said you were an
    Executive Officer. Can you explain what your job duties are as
 8
 9
    an Executive Officer?
                        Uh, job duties were to oversee, uh, the
10
         GREEN:
11
    financial staff, um, HR, and IT.
12
         PRICE:
                        Okay. And so, the financial staff, would
    that include, uh, Ms. Flannigan who testified earlier and then
13
    Mr. Demrow and their whole team?
14
15
         GREEN:
                        Yes.
16
                        Okay. And then, um -- I mean, what kind
         PRICE:
    of, uh, job duties did it entail to, uh, supervise the
17
18
    financial staff?
                        Um, monitoring their-their-their progress,
19
         GREEN:
20
    what-what was paid, what wasn't paid. Um, go-going into our
    reporting system to see the-the status of-of-of payments and
21
22
    where our budget was at.
23
         PRICE:
                        Okay.
24
         GREEN:
                        And following up on that, if I noticed
```

anything.

```
PRICE:
                        All right. And then as the Executive
 1
    Officer, were you involved in the disciplinary process if
 2
    there was grounds to discipline an employee?
 3
                         Yes.
 4
         GREEN:
 5
         PRICE:
                         Okay. Um, and when you were employed as
 6
    the Executive Officer overseeing, uh, the Financial Services
 7
    Division, I guess, uh, Mr. Demrow was under your chain of
    command?
         GREEN:
 9
                        Yes.
                        Okay. Um, did you have, uh, any
10
         PRICE:
    involvement with a written reprimand that was issued, um, in
11
    June of 2021 to Mr. Demrow?
12
13
         GREEN:
                         Yes.
                        All right. And what was your involvement
14
         PRICE:
1.5
    in that?
16
         GREEN:
                        Uh, my involvement was, uh, on Laurie
    coming to me with-with the problem she was having, um, and-
17
18
    and, uh, um, involving HR and-and, uh, evaluating the
    situation and coming up with the, uh, proper response to that.
19
20
                        All right. And what -- so Laurie came to
         PRICE:
    you with a problem and the problem was with Mr. Demrow?
21
22
         GREEN:
                         Yes.
23
         PRICE:
                        And what specifically was the problem that
24
    she was having?
```

Um, he, uh, basically, uh, wasn't doing

25

GREEN:

```
his job, wasn't paying the bills on time, and there were --
 1
    there were some consequences to that.
 2
 3
         PRICE:
                        Okay.
                        Where we -- we had internet shut off and
 4
         GREEN:
 5
    about had water shut off and --
                        All right. And did she also approach you
 6
         PRICE:
 7
    about an email that he had written to her?
 8
         GREEN:
                        Yes.
 9
         PRICE:
                        Okay. And that's the email in Exhibit B --
    or excuse -- excuse me, C, dated April 21st, 2021?
10
11
         GREEN:
                        Yes.
         PRICE:
                        Um, and, uh, so Ms. Flannigan came to you
12
    with the issues. Did you review -- or did Ms. Flannigan
13
    provide you and HR with any, like, documentation or, um, any
14
    other materials that would assist you in asi -- um, in
15
    determining, uh, whether uh, misconduct had occurred and
16
    whether discipline was appropriate?
17
18
         GREEN:
                        Yes. Yeah, the-the-there would -- there
    was quite a bit of back material that we reviewed before we
19
20
    proceed.
21
                        All right. And what kind of material did
         PRICE:
22
    you review?
23
         GREEN:
                        Um, the -- most of what's in this packet
   here.
24
```

PRICE:

Okay.

```
The e-emails and audit.
         GREEN:
 1
 2
         PRICE:
                         Okay. The audit documents, emails. The e -
    - uh, did you review the email that Mr. Demrow sent to, uh,
 3
   Ms. Flannigan?
 4
 5
         GREEN:
                         Yes.
 6
                         All right. Uh, if you can, please take a
         PRICE:
 7
    look at Exhibit J.
                         Okay.
 8
         GREEN:
 9
         PRICE:
                         Do you recognize this document?
10
         GREEN:
                         Yes.
                         What is it?
11
         PRICE:
                         This is, uh, the Prohibitions and
12
         GREEN:
    Penalties of Th-The Nevada Office of Veteran Services.
13
14
         PRICE:
                         Okay. So, uh, the prohibitions and
15
    penalties, what is the purpose of that policy?
16
         GREEN:
                         Purpose of that is to have a, uh, standard
    framework for, uh, dealing with, uh, um, performance and
17
18
    behavioral problems so it's consistent across the agency.
                         All right. Um, what about other forms of
19
         PRICE:
20
   misconduct?
21
                        Um, other forms too, yes.
         GREENS:
22
         PRICE:
                         All right. So basically, this is the
23
    department's disciplinary policy?
                         Yes.
24
         GREEN:
25
         PRICE:
                         And so, it allows the department to
```

discipline employees if they violate provisions of the policy?

GREEN:

Yes.

PRICE: Okay. Um, please take a look at page 96.

Um, I'd like to draw your attention to Section B4. Um, under the policy, uh, it's a disciplinary offense to -- for failure to prepare, maintain, or willfully falsifying prescribed reports or records. Um, can you explain to the EMC, um, kind of in your own words, like what this policy pertains to? Like, what kind of misconduct?

GREEN: Well, in this case here, i-i-in the case of, um, Mr., uh, Demrow, um, i-it was a failure to prepare, maintain, uh, um, records an-an-and reports. Um, f-f-for example, um, if -- if yo-you aren't reconciled, if you don't know what bills are paid or not paid, and you're-you're running a cemetery, you don't know how much money you have left. You don't know how much is out there. And so, you can't make good decisions. If it comes time to like -- hey, can we afford a new piece of equipment? Can we afford that? Um, you really don't know where you are. And so, th-they had no idea where they were and how much money they could spend. They didn't know what was out there. They didn't realize their water was about to be shut off.

PRICE: Okay. And so, under this policy, uh, employees are required to maintain accurate records. And did you determine that Mr. Demrow violated the policy?

GREEN: Yes. 1 All right. How did he violate it? PRICE: 2 By not maintaining accurate records to --3 GREEN: to make decisions with. 4 5 PRICE: Okay. Uh, was Mr. -- uh, you heard earlier 6 that Mr. Demrow was responsible for the accounting of state 7 funds in the terms of, you know, reconciling his budget. Um, so that was an integral part of a j -- of his job duties, 8 9 correct? 10 GREEN: Yes. 11 PRICE: Um, did you -- did you determine that he, uh, failed to properly, um, I guess, keep an accurate 12 accounting of his budgets? 13 GREEN: 14 Yes. Okay. Uh, please take a look at page 99. 15 PRICE: 99 16 UNIDENTIFIED: And I'm directing your attention to policy 17 PRICE: 18 C1, and that's the negligence in performing official duties, including failing to follow instructions or regulations. Um, 19 20 did you determine that Mr. Demrow violated that policy? 21 Yes. GREEN: 22 PRICE: And how did he violate that policy? 23 Uh, the unpaid past due bills, uh, th-ththe same things we-we've been discussing. There's a lot of 24

overlap in this, to where th-the same lack of activity, um,

has multiple repercussions.

PRICE: All right. Did he also violate this policy when he failed to complete the assignment that Ms. Flannigan gave him, uh, in March of 2021?

GREEN: Yes.

PRICE: All right. Uh, please take a look at page, uh, 102. And specifically, I'd like to direct your attention to Policy E3. Uh, this policy prohibits discourteous treatment of the public or a fellow employee. Uh, can you just briefly describe, uh, what that policy means? I mean, what -- what kind of conduct does that policy prohibit in the workplace?

GREEN: Uh, there there's a wide variety of stuff there. There's a lot of things tha-that can actually affect, uh, morale and create discord.

PRICE: Okay. So, did you determine that Mr. Demrow violated this provision?

GREEN: Yes.

PRICE: And how did you determine he did that?

GREEN: Um, there, there was quite an impact. The cemetery operations went in and didn't realize how much money they had. It's kind of hard to make those decisions and make choices and -- and, uh, um, if the bills aren't paid, tha-that has quite an effect on it. And, uh, also the, uh, email that that h-he, uh, sent where he rewrote his, uh, um, discipline.

PRICE: Okay. And so, you determined that the

```
email that he sent that's in Exhibit C, um -- that Mr. Demrow
 1
    had been discourteous to his supervisor?
 2
         GREEN:
 3
                        Yes.
                        Okay. Um, what specifically was
 4
         PRICE:
 5
    discourteous about it? Just the fact that he rewrote the
    letter of instruction, or was there anything else about it
 6
    that was discourteous?
 7
                        Well, I think it went way past
 8
         GREEN:
 9
    discourteous, and -- and in my personal opinion, um, i-it
    warranted a higher level of discipline.
10
11
         PRICE:
                        Why is that?
12
                        Um, I-I've been in the workplace for
    almost 40 years. I-I've -- I've never seen an email like that.
13
14
         PRICE:
                        All right. Um, and if you can please turn
    to p-page NDVS102.
15
                        Th-the one what?
16
         GREEN:
                        102.
17
         PRICE:
18
         GREEN:
                         I think I'm already there.
                        Oh, okay. Uh, look at E1.
19
         PRICE:
20
         GREEN:
                        Okay.
21
                        Uh, this policy prohibits insubordination.
         PRICE:
    Did you determine that Mr. Demrow was insubordinate?
22
23
         GREEN:
                         Yes.
         PRICE:
                        And, uh, why was he insubordinate? Or how
24
```

was he insubordinate?

GREEN: Um, the reaction to discipline. Normally, 1 the intent of discipline is to improve behavior and get on the 2 same page. And I've never seen anyone rewrite their discipline 3 before, and then suggest an adversarial relationship is a 5 positive thing. I'd never seen that. Okay. Uh, so obviously when you guys 6 7 discussed the level of discipline, um, that Mr., uh, Demrow would receive as a result of his, uh, misconduct, you determined that a written reprimand was appropriate. Um, do you think that the written reprimand was a reasonable degree 10 11 of discipline under the circumstances of this case? My personal opinion is it didn't go far 12 GREEN: enough, but the -- the intent was to correct the behavior. 13 PRICE: 14 Okay. GREEN: That -- that was the intent. Um, there's -15 - there's, uh, um, very little positive i-in, uh, turnover at 16 the state. You have to hire new people. You have to train new 17 18 people. There's a lot of work involved with that. So, you wanna do everything you can to keep the people that you have. 19 20 And so, the-the intent with that discipline was to go with a lower level to improve the behavior. 21 All right. But in your opinion, you -- the 22 PRICE: 23 conduct certainly could have warranted more severe?

Absolutely.

All right. Um, so at some point, did you

2.4

25

GREEN:

PRICE:

```
become aware that Mr. Demrow filed a grievance in relation to
 1
    the written reprimand?
 2
         GREEN:
 3
                        Yes.
                        And how'd you become aware of it?
 4
         PRICE:
 5
         GREEN:
                        Uh, that came through NEATS as something I
 6
    needed to respond to.
 7
                        Okay. And so, you did provide a response
         PRICE:
    to his grievance?
 8
 9
         GREEN:
                        Yes.
                        Uh, what step did you provide the response
10
         PRICE:
11
    to?
                        Uh, my response was that I'd reviewed the
12
         GREEN:
    documentation and I-I believed it to be accurate.
13
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Um, so in Mr. Demrow's grievance, he
14
    states that the written reprimand is so misleading. Is there
15
    anything in there that was misleading?
16
17
         GREEN:
                        No.
18
         PRICE:
                        In your opinion? Uh, he states that the
    written reprimand is full of exaggerations. Was there anything
19
20
    in there that was an exaggeration?
21
         GREEN:
                        No.
                        Um, the written reprimand states that, uh,
22
         PRICE:
23
    there are factual inaccuracies. Um, did you determine there
    was anything factually inaccurate about the written reprimand?
24
```

GREEN:

No.

```
Um, Mr. Grim -- Demrow alleges in his
 1
         PRICE:
    grievance that the written reprimand was full of half-truths.
 2
    Do you agree with that statement?
 3
         GREEN:
                         I do not.
 4
 5
         PRICE:
                        Why not?
                        Um, th-the information was accurate.
 6
         GREEN:
 7
         PRICE:
                        All right. And then Mr. Demrow states that
    the written reprimand is, uh -- contained outright lies. Did
 8
 9
    you agree with that statement?
                         I do not.
10
         GREEN:
11
         PRICE:
                        All right. Um, after you reviewed all the
    documents and the facts, uh, pertaining to the situation, um,
12
    did you determine that anything in there was a lie?
13
         GREEN:
                        No.
14
                        Okay. Uh, I pass a witness.
15
         PRICE:
16
         DEMROW:
                        Okay. Um, all right.
                        Chair, Sandie Geyer for the record.
17
         GEYER:
18
    <inaudible>.
                         Frank Demrow for the record. Um, you --
19
         DEMROW:
20
    where did you sit when you, uh, were at NVS?
21
                        Uh, my office was right across from your
         GREEN:
    cubicle.
22
23
                        Right. So, you could, you could see right
    into my cubicle and what I was doing.
24
25
         GREEN:
                        Um, sort of. It was kind of off at an
```

```
angle, but I-I could see when you were in there. Yes.
 1
                        Um, did it -- did it -- did I ever appear
 2
         DEMROW:
    to be doing something that seemed unorthodox or -- or not --
 3
    inappropriate or sleeping or anything like that?
 4
 5
         GREEN:
                        Um, the screen I could see had -- had like
 6
    a picture on there, so all I could see was -- was a-a, uh,
 7
    picture on your screen. I couldn't see --
 8
         DEMROW:
                        So, it's possible.
 9
         GREEN:
                        I couldn't see what you're actually doing.
                        But -- but -- but I was at least doing
10
         DEMROW:
11
    something, though.
12
         GREEN:
                        You -- you were physically present.
                        Yeah. Okay. Um, now isn't it true what
13
         DEMROW:
    you, um -- a big part of what you did, um, at the time you
14
15
    were at NVS was implement a new system?
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
16
         GREEN:
                        Uh, new software?
17
         DEMROW:
18
         GREEN:
                        Yes.
                        And so, um, it was a -- kind of a big
19
         DEMROW:
20
    change for, um, the NDVS finance team.
21
                        Well, uh, basically it made it paperless
         GREEN:
    and made easier electronic steps, but the process itself
22
23
    didn't really change.
                        Right, the process didn't change at all.
2.4
         DEMROW:
```

GREEN:

Yes.

```
DEMROW:
                        It was exactly the same, but -- but it was
 1
    a big, uh, technological change for everyone really.
 2
                        It could be viewed that way.
 3
         GREEN:
 4
         DEMROW:
                        Um, and isn't it true that there was a
 5
    little bit of pushback on that system? Like, um, largely from
    the cemeteries?
 6
 7
         GREEN:
                        Um, I can recall them a-at first, um, not,
    not going in and looking at their stuff as frequently as they
 8
    did. But w-we worked with them and got them on board, so they
    understood the importance of that. Because --
10
11
         DEMROW:
                        But at one point
                        It's in their best interest to make sure
         GREEN:
12
13
    their bills are paid.
                        Right, right. Do you recall a meeting
14
         DEMROW:
    though, um, that was necessary with the, um -- Fred Wagner and
15
    -- and, uh, superintendents of the two cemeteries in order to
16
    kind of facilitate? Do you remember that meeting?
17
18
         GREEN:
                        Possibly. I-I-I don't have any direct
    recollection of that.
19
20
                        No?
         DEMROW:
21
                        It may have happened though.
         GREEN:
22
         DEMROW:
                        Okay, fair enough. Fair enough. Um, you
23
    spoke about turnover. Um, do you have any theories why you've
    had, uh, 9 people leave the department in the last 2 years?
24
```

GREEN:

Um --

```
1
         DEMROW:
                        It's a department of 7 at headquarters.
    That's kind of high turn over, right? And it sounds like you
 2
    had mentioned earlier that you made a conscious effort to keep
 3
 4
    people.
 5
         GREEN:
                        Mm-hmm. <affirmative>.
                        Um, but it doesn't seem like it was doing
 6
         DEMROW:
 7
    very good -- much good, um, after -- including you, it was 9 -
 8
 9
         GREEN:
                        Right.
                        -- people who'd left the department in a
10
         DEMROW:
11
    very short time.
                        So, a lot of that -- if you have people
12
         GREEN:
    that move on to bigger and better things, you don't wanna
13
    begrudge a promotion, you wanna be excited for them. They've
14
1.5
    prepared themselves the next step and off they go. So not all
    that turnover is -- is -- is negative.
16
                        Right. Not all, but 9 people out of 7
17
         DEMROW:
18
    positions, that's a lot of people. Um, the last thing I wanted
    to ask you, um, are you aware of anyone -- anyone in the
19
20
    leadership role suggesting to subordinates that if they
21
    communicated with me that there could be repercussions,
22
    including the jeopardy of their job?
23
                        I would just object as to lack of
    relevance to the grievance.
24
```

Yeah. <inaudible>.

25

DUPREE:

1 DEMROW: Fair enough. <inaudible> I'll -- I'll withdraw that question, I guess. Um, that's all I have for Mr. 2 Green. Uh, thank you very much. I know I appreciate you taking 3 the time. I know it was ordered, but I know it's not easy to 4 5 get out of work and be down here. Yep. Thank you. 6 **GREEN:** 7 DUPREE: Okay. Unless the state has a redirect, <inaudible>. 8 9 PRICE: Uh, Chair, I have a brief -- very brief redirect. Uh, Brandon Price for the Department. Um, so Mr. 10 11 Demrow asked you, Mr. Green, about the implementation of new software. Um, did the implementation of new software excuse 12 accounting technicians from performing their job duties? 13 GREEN: For the record, uh, Kurt Green. No. 14 Okay. And so, employees were still 15 PRICE: expected to process invoices despite the fact that new 16 software was used, uh, for the process? 17 18 GREEN: Correct. All right. And was it important for 19 PRICE: 20 accounting technicians to ensure that invoices were paid in a timely manner? 21 Absolutely. I-i-it's really embarrassing 22 GREEN: 23 when the state doesn't pay its bills. Okay. Those are all the questions I have. 2.4 PRICE:

With that, the witness is excused.

25

DUPREE:

RUSSELL: Chair? 1 DUPREE: Yes. 2 Turessa Russell for the record. When was 3 RUSSELL: the new system implemented? 4 5 GREEN: Uh, Kurt Green for the record. It was, uh, 6 implemented almost immediately. So, it started in, uh, June 7 of, uh, 2020. And the -- the intent was to have that thing up and -- up and running in a month or so. So, i-i-it's -- it's pretty intuitive, but it does take a little bit of getting used to. But, uh, right out of the gate when I got there. 10 11 RUSSELL: Turessa for the record again. Was this the new accounting system or a new communication system? 12 Um, Kurt Green for the record. It would be 13 GREEN: more like an accounting system. I-i-it basically just, uh, 14 1.5 took a paper PO and made it in electronic form. And then each field on that form became a-a column in a database. You can 16 run reports and s-see where your money was a lot easier. It 17 18 allowed you to put in, uh, um, projections so you could kind of see where you'd be at end the fiscal year. So, it was 19 20 easier to manage your money. 21 RUSSELL: So that I have an accurate understanding, it didn't replace the Advantage System. It was kind of in 22 23 supplement to the Advantage System. Uh, Kurt Green for the record. Yes. It --2.4 GREEN:

it's, uh, um -- the, uh, state has various, what they would

call, uh, budget tracking system. Um, the Advantage System just shows your stuff that-that's paid. Um, a-and so paid -- y-y-your paid bills only show part of your picture. You wanna project where you're gonna be by the end of th-th-the fiscal year. If you've got problems where you're gonna be short on funds, you need to move something around, you need to address it, you wanna know as soon as possible in the beginning of the fiscal year where you're gonna be. And so, each agency kind has their own version of a budget tracking system ranging from an Excel spreadsheet to an access database. Um, this one happened to be, uh, a, uh, SharePoint list with an InfoPath form.

RUSSELL: So, was there a period of, like, a learning curve where the staff would have a slower response time? Or was this a simple, straightforward process that didn't take much learning curve or adjustment time?

GREEN: Uh, Kurt Green for the record. I-it was pretty intuitive where you would, uh, um, click, uh -- click a link on your desktop. It would open it up and you'd see a form very familiar. Uh, it looked just like the paper PO process. And, uh, rather than it sitting on someone's desk and wondering where it was at, um, at each stage of the process when it was approved, it would send an email to the next -- next level. And so, if-if-if you're a supervisor that needs to approve a purchase, it would send you email with a link. You

would open it. You would review the document and click a 1 button to approve it, and it would go on to the next step. And 2 so, uh, um, it was different, but if anything, it would speed 3 things up. If you've got paper moving around an office, um, i-5 i-it could be anywhere at any time. It's hard to track down. You don't know who's sitting on it. You see a date when they 6 signed it, but you don't know the time. This would actually 7 capture the date and time that they'd actually approved that. 8 9 And so, i-i-it was actually quicker. It was just different. Thank you. You help me understand better. 10 RUSSELL: 11 GREEN: Okay. Uh, Chair, Brandon Price for the 12 PRICE: Department. Based on those questions, I do have a couple more 13 follow-up questions for Mr. Green regarding the software. Um, 14 did the accounting technicians, including Mr. Demrow, receive 1.5 training on how to use the software system? 16 Uh, Kurt Green for the record. Yes. 17 GREEN: 18 PRICE: Okay. And at any point did Mr. Demrow, uh, tell you or his supervisor that he was unable to perform his 19 20 job duties in terms of processing invoices or reconciling his budget because he didn't know how to use that software system? 21 22 GREEN: No, he was actually pretty excited when 23 we, uh, first started it. It's brilliant, Kurt. It's genius what you 2.4 DEMROW:

25

did.

GREEN: Thank you. Thank you. 1 2 PRICE: Um, and then were all the other, uh, accounting technicians able to, uh, use the processes -- or 3 the new software, uh, in performing their job duties after it 4 5 was implemented? GREEN: Yes. 6 7 PRICE: Okay. That's all the questions I have. **DEMROW:** I have -- I have follow-up questions. 8 9 DUPREE: Okay, regarding <inaudible>. Real quick. 10 DEMROW: 11 DUPREE: Sure. 12 **DEMROW:** Um, Frank Demrow for the record. Um, Kurt, uh, just real quick, you said it speeds up the process, which 13 it absolutely does. But, um, is it true you have to be on a 14 15 computer in order to do what you do? And so, guys that spend 16 all their time out working in a cemetery may not -- it may not speed it up for them because they're not with -- on their 17 18 computer all the time, or all -- ever, in some instances. Well, uh, um --19 GREEN: 20 You do need to -- let me rephrase it. You **DEMROW:** 21 do need to be at your computer to do what you need to do in the system, right? 22 23 Um, Kurt Green for record. Correct. But if you have paper and a paper PO, you'd have to mail it to the 24

25

cemetery.

1	DEMROW:	Right, right. So no, it's definitely an	
2	improvement.		
3	GREEN:	Yeah.	
4	DEMROW:	But you do have to have a computer?	
5	GREEN:	Yes, you you do. That's correct. Yeah,	
6	absolutely.		
7	DEMROW:	All right. Yeah, that's all I have then.	
8	PRICE:	Uh, that's all the questions I have,	
9	Chair.		
10	DUPREE:	Okay. With that, the witness is excused.	
11	PRICE:	Thank you. Uh, the state calls Corrine	
12	Cosentino as a witness, and I do not believe she was here when		
13	you, uh, swore every	ybody in.	
14	COSENTINO:	I have not sworn in, and I didn't	
15	sign.		
16	DUPREE:	Please raise you need sign that sign-in	
17	sheet right there. Do you swear to provide do you promise		
18	to provide the truth when you testify?		
19	COSENTINO:	Yes, I do.	
20	DUPREE:	Have a seat and state your name for the	
21	record, please.		
22	COSENTINO:	All right. Corrine Cosentino.	
23	DUPREE:	Spell that for us.	
24	COSENTINO:	C-O-R-R-I-N-E C-O-S-E-N-T-I-N-O.	
25	DUPREE:	Thank you.	

```
1
         PRICE:
                        Uh, good morning, Ms. Cosentino. Uh, where
    do you work?
 2
                             Uh, the Division of Human Resource
 3
         COSENTINO:
 4
   Management.
 5
         PRICE:
                       All right, and where did you work before
 6
   that?
 7
                             Nevada Department of Veterans
         COSENTINO:
    Services.
 8
 9
         PRICE:
                        All right. And when did you work for the
    Department of Veterans Services?
10
                             From May 2020 until December of 2021.
11
         COSENTINO:
         PRICE:
                        All right. And what was your title at, uh,
12
    Department of Veteran Services?
13
                             I was the personnel officer.
         COSENTINO:
14
         PRICE:
                        All right. And what were your j-job duties
15
16
    as the personnel officer?
                             I was managing, um, human resources
17
         COSENTINO:
18
    and payroll. Um, my duties were to provide quidance to the
    supervisors and managers and, um, oversee, you know, um, all
19
20
    aspects of human resources and payroll.
21
                        Okay. And so, did your job duties involve,
         PRICE:
    uh, your participation in disciplinary issues that would come
22
23
    up in the department?
                             Yes, it did.
2.4
         COSENTINO:
25
         PRICE:
                        All right. Um, we are here today for a
```

grievance that was filed by Mr. Demrow contesting a written 1 reprimand that you received in June of 2021. Did you have any 2 involvement, uh, in that matter? 3 COSENTINO: I did. 4 5 PRICE: All right. And how did you first become aware of, uh, the circumstances surrounding the written 6 7 reprimand? Well, initially, um, when Laurie 8 COSENTINO: 9 Flannigan, his direct supervisor, started, she was a brand-new supervisor to the state. So, I-I definitely provided her a lot 10 11 of guidance in, um, areas where she needed to address an employee's performance. And there were issues, um, with Mr. 12 Demrow, uh, when she started. And so, she, uh, came to me for 13 quidance and recommendations on how to address those. Um, I 14 recommended, uh, coaching, shadowing, sitting down, um, with 15 him to get an understanding of where his, um, abilities were 16 in pertaining to his job, um, a lot of open communication. 17 18 And, um, there were just multiple issues that came up that, um, I recommended, um, letters of instruction, a documented 19 20 oral warning. And, uh, when the email was sent, uh, with the -- the threat, she -- you know, she contacted me -- Laurie 21 Flannigan contacted me and said, um, you know, I felt 22 23 threatened by this email. And so, um --

Okay.

Okay, so I'm gonna stop you right there.

PRICE:

COSENTINO:

24

1 PRICE: So, when Ms. Flannigan first started, um, you guys had discussed some performance issues that Mr. Demrow 2 was -- was having, and you made some recommendations. Did she 3 follow your recommendations with how to address some of those 4 5 performance issues? COSENTINO: She did. 6 7 Okay. And so, at some point, it sounds PRICE: like in April of 2021, she approached you about a written 8 reprimand -- or I'm sorry, an email that she received from Mr. Demrow? 10 11 COSENTINO: Yes, she did. PRICE: Okay. So that's how you first, uh, really 12 became aware in -- in this matter? 13 COSENTINO: 14 Yes. Or involved, I should say. Um, so, um --15 PRICE: so explain to me what you guys did from there. When she came 16 to you and she, you know, had concerns about the email that he 17 18 sent, um, did she, um, tell you what -- what other concerns she had with respect to Mr. Demrow and his behavior? 19 20 COSENTINO: Um, his performance issues. 21 PRICE: Okay. 22 He -- he wasn't -- he was sending COSENTINO: 23 emails about opinions of things that had nothing to do with work, um, to the team, and, uh, just wasn't staying on task. 24

And so, we reviewed his, um, supervisor file and looked at

```
past performance issues and, um, made a determination to, uh,
 1
    write a written reprimand.
 2
                        Okay. And when you say we, who are you
 3
         PRICE:
    referring to?
 4
 5
         COSENTINO:
                              Uh, Kurt Green, Laurie Flannigan, and
 6
   myself, Corrine Cosentino.
 7
                        Okay. So, you reviewed his, uh,
         PRICE:
    supervisory file. Um, you, uh -- did you review any other
 8
    documents, um, before you guys decided to issue the written
    reprimand?
10
11
         COSENTINO:
                              Um, his oral warning, his letters of
    instruction, um, all the emails that had been addressed
12
    because there were coachings via email, um, from his
13
    supervisor. So, we reviewed all of those documents.
14
15
         PRICE:
                        Did you review the documents related to
   Ms. Flannigan's audit and all the invoices that were unpaid?
16
                             We did.
17
         COSENTINO:
18
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Um, so you mentioned that Mr. Demrow
    had been disciplined before receiving this written reprimand.
19
20
    Um, can you please take a look at Exhibit G, page 71. Uh, do
    you recognize this document?
21
                              I do.
22
         COSENTINO:
23
         PRICE:
                        What is it?
                              It is a written reprimand issued to
24
         COSENTINO:
```

25

Frank Demrow on August 28th, 2018.

```
PRICE:
                        All right. And did he receive this written
 1
    reprimand in part because he was having performance problems?
 2
         COSENTINO:
                              Yes.
 3
                        Okay. At the bottom of the written
 4
         PRICE:
 5
    reprimand, under the heading titled Issue Substandard Work
    Performance, um, what does it state?
 6
 7
                        "Frank, you are failing to perform your
         COSENTINO:
    work performance standards written June 26th, 2018, and signed
 8
    July 2nd, 2018, regarding the assigned duties of collections
    and filing new resident folders."
10
11
         PRICE:
                        All right. Uh, please take a look at
    Exhibit G, page 73.
12
                        Uh, I'm sorry, can we go backwards? Where
13
         DEMROW:
    -- what were we just looking at? What page?
14
15
                        It was the -- it's the bottom of page 71.
         PRICE:
                        What was she reading from? Oh, it's -- oh,
16
         DEMROW:
    it does actually say in -- okay. My apologies.
17
18
         PRICE:
                        All right. And, uh, Ms. Cosentino, can you
    please turn to page 73? Do you recognize this document?
19
20
         COSENTINO:
                              Yes.
                        What is it?
21
         PRICE:
                              A written reprimand issued to Frank
22
         COSENTINO:
23
    Demrow on November 6th, 2018.
                        All right. And did he receive this written
24
         PRICE:
```

reprimand in part because he was having performance problems?

1	COSENTINO: Yes.
2	PRICE: All right. And, um, under the third
3	paragraph of the written reprimand, um, can you read the first
4	sentence or the yeah, the heading, uh, where it says
5	issue, and then the first sentence?
6	COSENTINO: "Frank is failing to perform his work
7	performance standards written June 26th, 2018, and signed July
8	2018 regarding the assigned duties of collections and filing
9	new resident folders."
10	PRICE: All right. Now, can you turn to page 74,
11	please? Uh, do you recognize this document?
12	COSENTINO: I do.
13	PRICE: Uh, what is it?
14	COSENTINO: A documented oral warning issued to
15	Frank Demrow on December 14th, 2018.
16	PRICE: All right. And did he receive, uh, this
17	oral warning due to performance problems?
18	COSENTINO: Yes.
19	PRICE: Um, Mr. Demrow pointed out before I-I
20	didn't notice this before, but there's it stated December
21	14th, 2018, but their letterhead says Governor Steve Sisolak.
22	Um, do you know when Governor Sisolak was elected?
23	COSENTINO: November of 2018.
24	PRICE: Okay. And this was dated December 14th,
25	2018. Is it possible that the department may have been

```
changing over its letterhead after the governor had been
 1
    elected?
 2
                              It is very possible.
 3
         COSENTINO:
                        All right. But do you really know why this
 4
         PRICE:
    document is on that letterhead?
 5
         COSENTINO:
                              I do not.
 6
 7
                        Okay. Um, please take a look at Exhibit G,
         PRICE:
   page 75. Do you recognize this?
 8
 9
         COSENTINO:
                              T do.
                        And what is it?
10
         PRICE:
11
         COSENTINO:
                              It is a documented oral warning
    issued to Frank Demrow on January 25th, 2021.
12
                        Okay. And did he receive this oral
13
         PRICE:
    warning, uh, due to performance problems?
14
                              He did.
15
         COSENTINO:
16
         PRICE:
                        Okay. So, prior to, uh, receiving the
    written reprimand that's at issue in this case, he had
17
    received formal discipline at least 3 prior times, correct?
18
         COSENTINO:
                              Correct.
19
20
                        All right. Uh, at some point, did you
         PRICE:
    become aware that Mr. Demrow filed a grievance in connection
21
22
    with his written reprimand?
23
         COSENTINO:
                              T did.
                        And what was your involvement, uh, with,
24
         PRICE:
```

uh, respect to the grievance process?

```
1
         COSENTINO:
                             Uh, well, I was notified through
    NEATS and, um, I, um, provided guidance for, uh, Laurie
 2
    Flannigan, his supervisor, because she was new to the state
 3
    and hadn't dealt with these kind of, um, issues. So, I
 4
 5
    assisted her in drafting her response.
                        Okay. And based on your review of the mat
 6
         PRICE:
 7
    -- relevant materials to this matter, did you determine that
    all the information contained in the written reprimand was
 8
    accurate?
                             Absolutely.
10
         COSENTINO:
11
         PRICE:
                        Okay. Uh, please turn to Exhibit K,
    please. Do you recognize this document?
12
                              I do.
13
         COSENTINO:
         PRICE:
                        What is it?
14
                              It's NDVS, the Nevada Department of
15
         COSENTINO:
    Veteran Services, Policies and Procedures Acknowledgement
16
    Form.
17
18
         PRICE:
                        And so, what does this form show?
                              It shows that Frank Demrow, on
19
         COSENTINO:
20
    February 16th, 2016, um, acknowledged, received, and reviewed,
    uh, the discipline policy among other policies.
21
                        Okay. And he signed it February 16th,
22
         PRICE:
23
    2016?
2.4
         COSENTINO:
                              Correct.
25
         PRICE:
                       All right. Please take a look at Exhibit
```

J. Uh, is this the state's -- or the department's disciplinary 1 policy that you were just referring to? 2 COSENTINO: It is. 3 Okay. And was this the policy that was in 4 PRICE: 5 effect at the time that Mr., uh, Demrow, uh, engaged in the misconduct that led to the written reprimand? 6 7 Yes, it is. COSENTINO: All right. And if you can please turn to PRICE: 8 page J -- uh, or, sorry, Exhibit J, page NDVS 99. All right. And specifically, I wanna direct your attention to section C1. 10 11 Um, you previously testified that Mr. Demrow, um, had been disciplined for performance issues at least 3 times previously 12 before the written reprimand. Um, under section C1, what is 13 the level of discipline that is authorized by the department's 14 disciplinary manual for when an employee neglects their 1.5 duties, uh, for the third offense? 16 Suspension, demo-demotion, or 17 COSENTINO: 18 dismissal. Okay. So based on, uh, Mr. Demrow's past 19 PRICE: 20 disciplinary history, um, the depart -- the minimum level of discipline that was authorized by the policy was a suspension 21 without pay. 22 23 COSENTINO: Correct. But you guys didn't give him a suspension 24 PRICE:

25

without pay?

```
COSENTINO:
                             We did not.
 1
 2
         PRICE:
                        All right. You gave him a written
    reprimand. Right? Um, uh, in your opinion, did the department
 3
    follow, uh, the pri-principles of progressive discipline?
 4
 5
         COSENTINO:
                              Yes, absolutely.
         PRICE:
                        And why is that?
 6
 7
                             Why is that?
         COSENTINO:
                        Yeah.
 8
         PRICE:
 9
         COSENTINO:
                             Because, um, that's our duty to
    follow the policy.
10
11
         PRICE:
                        Excuse me, sorry. How -- how did they
    engage in progressive discipline?
12
                             Um, we reviewed his, uh, previous
13
         COSENTINO:
    discipline and coachings and, um, made a determination to, uh,
14
15
   be a little more lenient and issue the written reprimand and
16
    give him an opportunity to improve.
                        All right. And if you can please turn to
17
         PRICE:
18
    page 102. Uh, Section E3 is, uh -- covers discourteous
    treatment. Uh, what is the level of discipline that is
19
20
    authorized under the policy for an offense of discourteous
21
    treatment?
22
                              Sorry. Um, anywhere from a -- an oral
         COSENTINO:
23
    warning to dismissal.
                        Okay. And because if it's -- because it's
24
         PRICE:
25
    a wide range of offenses, um, it -- does it depend on the
```

```
serious nature of the offense, uh, which warrants the specific
 1
   level of discipline.
 2
 3
         COSENTINO:
                             Yes.
                        All right. And in this case, um, did you
 4
         PRICE:
   determine that Mr. Demrow had been discourteous to his
 5
 6
   supervisor?
 7
         COSENTINO:
                             Yes.
                        And why was he discourteous to his
 8
         PRICE:
 9
   supervisor?
10
         COSENTINO:
                     Uh, he sent an email with threatening
11
   language to her.
                        Okay. And in your opinion, was that
12
         PRICE:
   misconduct serious enough to warrant at least a written
13
14
   reprimand?
15
         COSENTINO:
                             At least a written reprimand, yes.
16
         PRICE:
                        I pass the witness.
                        Frank Demrow for the record. Um, when did
17
        DEMROW:
18
   you start at NDVS? May of 2020?
         COSENTINO:
                             Yes.
19
20
                        Okay. So, you weren't involved in this
         DEMROW:
21
    written reprimand at all?
22
                             Uh, 2018?
         COSENTINO:
23
         DEMROW:
                        Yeah.
         COSENTINO:
                             No.
24
25
                       No. And so as -- as far as you know, it
         DEMROW:
```

```
was just in my file. You don't know that -- any of the
 1
    circumstances surrounding it. You don't -- probably don't even
 2
    know the people involved. Right?
 3
         COSENTINO:
                              Um, well, I know you.
 4
 5
         DEMROW:
                        You know me, yeah. But, um, the names --
    so this was just in my file. That's the only thing you know,
 6
 7
    right?
 8
         COSENTINO:
                              Yes.
 9
         DEMROW:
                        Okay. Um, do you know what administrative
    code says about how long a reprimand can be in a person's file
10
    before it has to be taken out and destroyed?
11
                              Um, I don't know off the top of my
12
         COSENTINO:
   head.
13
                        Is there a number? Do you know?
14
         DEMROW:
15
         SCOTT:
                        Mary Jo Scott for the record. There is no
    determination date for a written reprimand to be removed from
16
    the file. It stays in the personnel file unless it was
17
18
    appealed.
                        Okay. So -- so a written reprimand will be
19
         DEMROW:
20
    in there forever.
21
                        That is --
         SCOTT:
                        Is that -- they're not taken out after 3
22
         DEMROW:
23
    years or 5 years, or destroyed or anything like that?
                        Sandie Geyer for the record. That is
24
         GEYER:
```

correct. Unless it is appealed and the grievance on the

```
written reprimand is in favor of the grievant. At that point,
 1
    then it would be removed. However, if it's not, and it's
 2
    denied, it stays in the personnel.
 3
                        Okay. So, once they get in there, you
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
    can't get 'em out, basically.
                        Unless you appeal that at the time.
 6
         DUPREE:
 7
                        Right. Okay. Now -- which you wouldn't be
         DEMROW:
    able to do if you didn't know about it, right? So now let me
 9
    ask you this. Did I ever ask you for a copy of my record?
                             I don't recall you asking me for a
10
         COSENTINO:
11
    сору.
12
         DEMROW:
                        You don't recall getting an email, uh,
    about it and what you said? No?
13
         COSENTINO:
                             I don't recall.
14
                         Okay. All right. Um, okay. Let me -- I
         DEMROW:
15
    want to go to the letter that I, um, sent that -- that, uh,
16
    was threatening. Now that was -- the letter that I -- that
17
18
    supposedly was threatening to, um, Ms. Flannigan. Do you know
    what that was in response to?
19
20
         COSENTINO:
                             It was in response to a letter of
    instruction.
21
                        Right. And what was that letter of
22
         DEMROW:
23
    instruction about? Do you remember?
         COSENTINO:
                             I mean, I can't remember everything
24
```

that was in that letter of instruction.

```
DEMROW:
                    Right. No, I don't expect you to. I just
was curious if you remember what that letter of instruction
was about, um, 'cause -- do you remember the email that I sent
when -- after that, didn't the letter you -- no, you probably
-- it was a long time ago. I get it. It's been a year and
several months, so I wouldn't -- I-I imagine you probably
don't remember. But I had done something to-to-to-to warrant a
letter of instruction, and then I was responding to that
letter of instruction. Right? Okay. Um, you know, if I were to
ask you today to see my file, do you know -- what would you
tell me?
     COSENTINO:
                         I would let you see your file.
                    You would?
    DEMROW:
                         Yeah. If an employee asked to see
     COSENTINO:
their file, you have to let them see their file.
    DEMROW:
                    Yeah, you do. It's, uh -- yes, that's
true. Okay. Thank you very much. Um, I don't -- I guess I
don't have any more questions. I wasn't expecting those
answers.
                    Chair, uh, Brandon, for the department. I
     PRICE:
just have a couple of brief follow up questions. Um, Ms.
Cosentino, as the HR manager, you have access to employee
personnel files, correct?
     COSENTINO:
                         Yes.
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

PRICE:

And when you're making recommendations to

a supervisor on how to handle a disciplinary matter, uh, you 1 review the disciplinary file to determine an employee's past 2 history, correct? 3 Correct. 4 COSENTINO: 5 PRICE: And if you didn't do that, then you 6 wouldn't be doing your job, correct? 7 COSENTINO: Correct. All right. That's all the questions I PRICE: 8 9 have. 10 Okay. If there's nothing further, the DUPREE: 11 witness is excused. COSENTINO: Thank you. 12 And, uh, the state rests its case. 13 PRICE: Okay. Um, after the state has rested, it 14 DUPREE: would come time for your closing statement. 1.5 16 **DEMROW:** Um, I am not really that -- I haven't been that prepared for this. Um, I-I don't -- I don't have, uh, any 17 18 of the supporting documents. I didn't turn in a pre-hearing statement. But the reason, and -- and -- and it is a reason. 19 20 It's not an excuse, it is a reason. It's because this is one thing that occurred a year and 3 months ago. And if this goes, 21 22 you know -- what I'm trying to do is keep it out of my record. 23 But if it goes into my record, it is not gonna be the end of the world. But I do have other things that won't necessarily 24

dictate the end of the world for me, but they will be life-

changing events that occurred that, um -- that involve work. Um, and, uh, for example, I'm just coming off a 30 day suspension, um, which I'm trying to -- which I was trying to fight, um, among other things. But that's not your problem. That's not anything you need to worry about. But it has taken a lot of my time and I have had -- had to prioritize. And so, I want to say, I did not mean any disrespect. I know this is an important issue and I -- and I -- and I -- and I wanted to, uh, do more, so to speak. But I just -- I-I had to put my focus on more important things, and I apologize for that. Um, and I've got my hands full with things of this nature. It's -it's very frustrating, but it's, uh, just how it is. It is what it is. Um, and that's why I don't have, um -- I'm as poorly prepared as I am. Um, <inaudible> no issue, so -- and that's why I-I came today because I filed -- I filed the grievance and I needed to follow it through. And that's why I'm here. And, um -- and I thank you for the opportunity to do so. I know you probably weren't expecting to stay this long, but, uh, uh, thank you. Um, that's all I have for closing. Um, yes, I'll proceed. Um, Mr. Demrow simply has failed to satisfy his burden of proof in order for his grievance to be granted. Um, he was issued the written reprimand, um, for neglecting his job duties, for discourteous treatment, for making threatening statements. Um, the testimony, uh, that you heard here today clearly establishes

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that Mr. Demrow did, um, fail to properly perform his job duties. It resulted in a large number of invoices not, uh, being paid in a timely manner. It resulted in an internet service being shut off for the department. Uh, it resulted in embarrassment to the department. Um, and quite simply, the department was attempting to correct his behavior. And instead of doing that, Mr. Demrow refused to take responsibility for his actions. He went on an email tirade in which he, uh, accused his supervisor of being a bully. He accused her of being adversarial. And then he made a veiled or implied threat in that email. And that kind of conduct simply cannot be tolerated. Um, you heard testimony, um, that under the disciplinary policy, uh, the department actually gave him a less degree of discipline than what was warranted in this case. Even if he didn't have all the prior discipline in his record and none of that existed, uh, due to the serious nature of the misconduct, uh, with respect to both neglecting his job duties, uh, his insubordination, and the discourteous treatment of his supervisor, uh, the written reprimand was warranted. Uh, in order to demonstrate that he did suffer an injustice, he would've had to prove that he either didn't commit the offenses he was alleged to have committed, or that the discipline was unreasonable. And we didn't hear any evidence here today, um, that would establish, uh, those 2 prongs. And so, uh, with that, uh, the department, uh,

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

requests that Mr. Grim -- uh, Demrow's, grievance, uh, be 1 denied, and that the written reprimand remain in his file. 2 Thank you. Mr. Demrow, you talked about 3 DUPREE: not really being prepared for this grievance today. Does that 5 mean you're not prepared for the next item on the agenda, grievance number 8887 as well? Or --6 7 I-I-I am equally unprepared. I-I still do **DEMROW:** want to proceed, um, because there is the -- the -- the foundation of my arguments are in that grievance. But that is -- that is correct, yes. I am not --10 11 DUPREE: I wonder, 'cause, you know, we're gonna proceed with that one when this one was -- when this one ends. 12 13 **DEMROW:** Yes, yes. And, uh --I just want clarify that. All right. Um, 14 DUPREE: 1.5 I'd like to open -- if everybody's done, um, this is the part 16 where the committee wants -- I want to entertain deliberation on the part of the committee. And your cases are done in 17 18 chief, so there's no arguments with them now. Your arguments are in, so anybody want to start deliberations? I'll start. 19 20 Um, I know that it doesn't feel good to, um, get anything like a reprimand like this, but, um, as somebody who pays state 21 22 bills a lot and deals with state supervisors a lot, um, I

don't see anything in the reprimand that was out of line. And

I, um -- I'm in favor of -- of deny -- I-I-I haven't seen

anything that says that this grievance should be, um,

23

24

sustained. It should be denied, I think. Anybody? 1 Chair, Mary Jo Scott for the record. I SCOTT: 2 agree. I don't see that there's anything in the written 3 reprimand, the oral written warning, any of that, that, um, is 5 out of line. I believe that the state has provided evidence for the employee failure to perform his responsibilities as 6 assigned. And the previous discipline is to be used to 7 identify patterns of misconduct or performance. And I believe that's what was done in this case. So, I-I believe that the written reprimand should stand as it is, and the grievance 10 should be denied. 11 12 DUPREE: Member Geyer, you had a comment. Sandie Geyer for the record. I concur with 13 **GEYER:** my colleagues, both in the North and the South, that the 14 1.5 grievant has not proved beyond any doubt that there was any 16 part of the written reprimand that was not just. I vote in favor of denying the grievance and let it stand as is. 17 18 DUPREE: Okay. Um, can I get a motion? Because we can't vote until we get a motion. 19 20 RUSSEll: Turessa Russell for the record? 21 Yes Member Russell? DUPREE: 22 I make a motion to deny grievance 7892 for RUSSELL: 23 grievant Frank Demrow as grievant failed to show cause for removal of the written reprimand from his personnel file. 2.4

Do I have a second?

25

DUPREE:

```
GEYER:
                        Sandie Geyer --
 1
                        Mary Jo Scott for the record. I second.
         SCOTT:
 2
                        Okay. Sandie Geyer and Mary Jo Scott. --
 3
         DUPREE:
   Mary Jo Scott both seconded. So, um, with that, all in favor
 4
 5
    of the motion, say aye.
 6
         MULTIPLE:
                        Aye.
 7
                        Okay. I'm hearing a unanimous vote in
         DUPREE:
    favor of the motion. This grievance is denied. You'll get a
    written response -- written notification of that within 45
10
    days.
11
         DEMROW:
                         Thank you.
                              45 days, Chair.
12
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                         <inaudible>. That's what I meant to say,
13
         DUPREE:
    but I didn't say it. Oops.
14
15
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                              Just making sure.
16
         DUPREE:
                         Yep. With that, because it's about 12:10,
   before we start the next grievance in this process, if
17
18
    everybody okay with it, I'd like to do a lunch. We'll
    reconvene at 1 -- we'll reconvene at 1:15.
19
20
         RUSSELL:
                        <inaudible>.
21
                        Yes, Turessa. Go ahead.
         DUPREE:
22
         RUSSELL:
                        Thank you.
23
         DUPREE:
                        You're welcome. See everybody in an hour.
2.4
         END OF MEETING
```

STATE OF NEVADA

EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

MEETING TRANSCRIPT

SEPTEMBER 22, 2022

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

1

2

3

DUPREE: Sir, <inaudible>.

DEMROW: I am. I am.

DUPREE: Okay.

DEMROW: Uh, for the record, Frank Demrow. Um, now this -- this grievance has to do with my, uh, performance review and, um, I'm going to keep it very simple and get right to point. Um, th-there are several nit-picky things that I could argue but I don't wanna get into all that. The main thing is, I-I want to show that what I did in the time period -- because I was out the office for an extended period of time. So, my review really broke down to a period of the end of September until the beginning of January -- is really what it was reviewing, in essence, because I had been out at the office for 6 months before that. Um, and my -- what I -- my main point, really -- the bulk of it is I did the same number of transactions, or paid same number of bills or whatever -however you wanna phrase it, as the person handling the account the previous year in the same time period. And -- and -- and the bulk of my argument is gonna be this chart. Now, there's several different ways that you would measure

productivity in what's done. Um, and if -- there's a natural inclination to -- to want to use, uh, how many bills did you pay. But the problem is, I-I can't issue payment. I can prepare everything, but then someone else has to put the approval on it before it can be paid. So, a better measure of that is the system that was mentioned in the previous thing. Um, there's a way that we can see where -- when it gets up to that point where it needs approval, and this -- this page, uh, 14 of the packet has the chart that shows what I did each day getting those payments up and ready for approval. Um, now you might make the case that, hey, all right, well we got approval. Well, what if you did them poorly and they get rejected? Well, that happens all the time that they get rejected and they need to be corrected. But what I want noted is that of all these that were approved, when I checked to see how many of 'em had been processed and paid when I filed -when I originally filed this grievance, I-I want to say it's -- the number's in here somewhere, but I wanna say 98% of them had been paid. So, even if they had been rejected, they had been corrected and sent back now. And I just wanna -- that number's in here somewhere. But -- so that would -- that's gonna be the bulk of my argument about -- regarding this, is that in, uh, the previous year in the exact same period, the person that had the account did 4,025 and I did 4,600 -- 125. So, um -- um, excuse me, those are the daily averages. I'm

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
misreading this. Let me rephrase that. I did 322 over -- in
the fiscal year '21, there was 322, um, processed, um, and I
did 369 in -- in the next fiscal. So those 2 are comparing
apples to apples, so to speak. Same period. The average was
4.025 the previous fiscal year. My average was 4.6. And that's
-- that's really the point I really want to make. And, uh,
that's it.
                    Okay. And I noticed that your counsel is
not here with you. <crosstalk>
                    Hi, Chair. Uh, this is, uh, Deputy
     TAN:
Attorney General Gerald Tan here in Las Vegas.
                    Okay. As long as we're good with that. All
     DUPREE:
right. Does that cover your opening?
     DEMROW:
                    Yeah, that's my opening. I'll pass that
to, uh, Deputy Attorney General Tan.
     DUPREE:
                    Okay. Your case now, please?
                    Um, hi. Uh, DAG Tan, Chair, um, and people
     TAN:
here in Las Vegas. Good to see you. Um, I-I suppose it
deserves a little bit of explanation why, uh, the agency has 2
attorneys on this case. Um, the, the DAGs in our division, um,
are assigned certain agencies to represent and there was a
reassignment of agencies last year. So, uh, DAG Price was
handling an old grievance, uh, today. And I'm handling this
one and then any grievances going forward.
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DUPREE:

Got it.

```
1
         TAN:
                        Um, would we like to go through evidence
   before we, um, proceed to my opening or, um, have, uh, we
 2
    resolved that?
 3
                             Chair, we got to leave opening for --
 4
         UNIDENTIFIED:
 5
         DUPREE:
                        I'm sorry. I keep screwing that up. Does
   any -- before we get started -- I'll put a hold on your
 6
    statement there <inaudible>. Does anybody have any objections
 7
 8
    to the packet?
 9
         DEMROW:
                        I'm -- I'm not going to object to anything
   in here. This is done.
10
11
         DUPREE: All right. Do you have any objections to this --
    it's your packet.
12
13
         TAN:
                        No.
                       Gerald, no objections?
14
         UNIDENTIFIED:
                        No. No objections from us for the agency.
15
         TAN:
16
         DUPREE:
                        Go ahead with whatever -- wherever you
17
    wanna start, sir.
18
         TAN:
                        Okay. Um, I-I'll just start with the --
    with an opening. Um, you know, uh, I quess a little bit of
19
20
    housekeeping. I-I don't want -- a lot of this information's
    gonna be redundant, uh, from grievance 7892, and I-I think I'd
21
    request that, um, at least the oral record be incorporated
22
23
    into this case so that we don't have to cover the same
   materials.
24
```

Okay. All right.

25

DUPREE:

TAN: Um, so with that, um, Your Honor, like, uh, Mr. Demrow said, uh, we're here on the -- a grievance regarding some of his, uh, substandard, uh, work evaluations. And we -- we heard a little bit about, um, this agency back in the -- the hearing on grievance number 7892. Um, the Department of Veteran Services provides services to veterans throughout the state. They operate 2 cemeteries, 2 veterans homes. They, uh, provide services throughout, uh -- uh, throughout the state for, um -- uh, for veterans. Now, despite the breadth of this agency, um, the fiscal team, um, of -- of which Mr. Demrow is a part, is actually quite small. It is a small group of people. <inaudible> in Reno. And this, uh, small group is, uh, tasked with handling all of the transactions throughout the state regarding the agency. They maintain accounts, they process, uh, payments from vendors and, uh, really are entrusted to handle public funds on a daily basis. So, as you can imagine, the quality of work is important, the quantity of work is important, uh, the relationships with other people, uh, whether they be employees or vendors, that's important. All of these job elements are -are crucial to -- to his job. Now, the, uh, reports on performance today that, um, are an issue, they were -- they -they were proper. They were, uh, based in fact. And, um -- and they found that, um, Mr. Demrow was not meeting his work performance standards. Um, Mr. Demrow's not gonna be able to,

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

uh, provide any evidence to rebut the evaluations. Um, and a lot of the arguments that he's -- that he is making today are not based in fact. So, at the end of this hearing, I-I'd request that the grievance, uh, uh, grievance number 8887, be denied.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DUPREE: Okay. Does that conclude your opening, sir?

TAN: That -- that's it. Thank you, Your Honor.

DUPREE: All right. Mr. Demrow, let's move on to --

Well, um, I-I -- since I-I'm not gonna --DEMROW: I'm gonna be calling any witnesses, um, um, and I'm not gonna be introducing any evidence, I-I-I would or -- really I would hinge the largest part of my thing on that, uh -- this page 14 in, uh, the, uh, evidence packet that -- these are -- now these are the numbers that I have just stated that I am hinging my argument on. And these numbers are not based out of Advantage because, as you know, Advantage is how bills are paid. But as I said before, I can only take it so far before it has to get someone's approval. So, if -- and -- and the way our -- our internal agency policy is, they can sit and wait for them indefinitely. There's no limit to time. So -- so in theory -- and -- and that's fine, but it kind of presupposes that they're gonna work through 'em. And what -- what -- what could potentially happen is, I could do everything right. It could sit there for months and not get that last approval. And then because it's gone over an accounting period, it -- it's -- it's not gonna go through. And then -- so there's all different things. And it'd be one thing if they're rejected right away. As long as they're rejected right away, I can fix them. But if they're not rejected right away, I can't fix 'em. There's nothing I can do but wait. And so that is not a fair measure of what I'm doing. So, the only fair measure would be to go into this other system where it shows I've got it up to this point and now I'm waiting for it to either correct it or for it to go through. And that's what these numbers are, uh, taken out of that -- that other system that -- that, uh, subsidiary system, uh, that's -- kinda goes in conjunction with Advantage to <inaudible> those payments and keep track of our records. And, uh, that's really gonna be -- now there -there -- there's a -- I think there are 5 categories, uh, um, in the, uh, performance review. And without going too much into detail, my previous performance review, I was satisfactory in all categories. And it's very hard for me to accept that I went from satisfactory in every category to unsatisfactory in every single category. So really, I-I should be making a better case for -- for all those. But I really feel like this is the lion's share of my argument. And since I am so poorly prepared, I don't wanna be scrambling to try to put anything together. And this really, I feel like is --'cause my job is to pay the bills or prepare the bills to be

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
paid, and this represents that, I feel. Uh, this chart on page
 1
    14, comparing my performance to the performance of the same
 2
    accounts in the fiscal year before. And that's all I would
 3
 4
    say.
 5
         DUPREE:
                         All right. Is that <inaudible> your case?
                        Um, yeah. Yeah.
 6
         DEMROW:
 7
         DUPREE:
                         Okay.
                         That's -- that's -- that is, uh --
 8
         DEMROW:
 9
         DUPREE:
                         Does the state have a case?
                         Yeah, this is, uh, DAG Gerald Tan. Uh, I
10
         TAN:
11
    just have a few questions for, uh, Mr. Demrow before we
    proceed to our case.
12
                        Okay. Okay.
13
         DUPREE:
                         Uh, hi, Frank. Good to see you again.
14
         TAN:
                         Good to see you.
15
         DEMROW:
16
         TAN:
                         Um, you have our evidence packet in front
    of you. Is that right?
17
18
         DEMROW:
                         That's correct.
                         Could you turn to NDVS 0001? That's
19
         TAN:
20
    Exhibit A.
21
         DEMROW:
                         Okay.
22
         TAN:
                         Uh, and -- and you -- are you there?
23
         DEMROW:
                         Yeah, I am.
                         Okay. Now, NDVS 0001 is your, uh, work
24
         TAN:
25
    performance standards form. Is that right?
```

```
DEMROW:
                        That's correct.
 1
                        And you signed this on June 16th, 2021?
         TAN:
 2
                        Yes, that's correct.
 3
         DEMROW:
                        And, uh, by signing does you agree to be
 4
         TAN:
 5
    bound by these work performance standards, right?
         DEMROW:
                        Yes.
 6
 7
                        Um, let's turn to, um, Exhibit B, NDVS
         TAN:
    0011.
 8
 9
         DEMROW:
                        Okay.
                        Um, and from NDVS 0011 to 0017, um, this
10
         TAN:
11
    is your written response to the, uh, evaluation you received
    on February 11th, 2022. Is that right?
12
                        Um, that's -- you said Exhibit C 001?
13
         DEMROW:
                        No, Exhibit B.
14
         TAN:
                        I'm sorry, de -- uh, Deputy Attorney, uh,
15
         DEMROW:
    General Tan, uh, what am I looking -- what am I looking for?
16
    Exhibit C you said?
17
18
         TAN:
                        Exhibit B as in boy. And you're looking at
    NDVS -- NDVS 0011 to 0017.
19
20
                        Okay. All right, I got you. I'm there.
         DEMROW:
21
                        And, uh, that document is your written
         TAN:
    response to the February, um, 11, 2022 evaluation, right?
22
23
                        No, it's not. Not that I -- oh, I pulled
    it out. Yeah, I'm sorry. I'm sorry I pulled it out. Yeah, I do
24
25
   have that. Yes.
```

```
TAN:
                        And that's your written response to the
 1
    February of 2022 evaluation?
 2
                        Yes. Yes, it is.
 3
         DEMROW:
                        And you were being truthful in that
 4
         TAN:
 5
    written response, right?
         DEMROW:
                        Yes. Yeah.
 6
 7
                        And everything in that response is correct
         TAN:
    to the best of your knowledge?
 8
 9
         DEMROW:
                        To the best of my knowledge, it is.
                        Okay. Now, you were mentioning, um,
10
         TAN:
    earlier about this data that we find on NDVS 0014.
11
12
         DEMROW:
                        Yeah.
                        This data is not taken from Advantage, is
13
         TAN:
14
    that right?
1.5
                        That's right. It is not taken from
         DEMROW:
    Advantage. And I'd also like to add that I -- before -- I-I've
16
17
    been out of work again for, uh, 30 days, but I went to
18
    reconfirm these numbers and they've changed. And I don't know
    why that is or how that is, but they've changed. They won't
19
20
    match what's in the system now, and I don't know why or how,
21
    if that's possible. I pulled these out, but they -- they've
22
    been changed. I -- and I suppose that there are some things
23
    that might change 'em, but I don't know why. There's a few
    accounting things that could be done, but I don't know.
24
```

Okay. So, these numbers that you pulled

25

TAN:

```
from some program that the agency doesn't use is now different
 1
    from --
 2
                        Yeah, yeah. It was --
 3
         DEMROW:
                        -- what we see now?
 4
         TAN:
 5
         DEMROW:
                        It was alarming to say the least, um,
    because I had prepared this so long ago and, um -- 'cause
 6
    again, this -- this time get to -- go through the whole
 7
    process, but, um, they -- I wouldn't say they changed
 8
 9
    radically, but they are different though. For sure they are.
                        And what program did you take this
10
         TAN:
11
    information from?
12
         DEMROW:
                        Um, from the one that, uh, uh, Kurt
    Green was talking about -- from the, uh, the, uh, SharePoint
13
    list, um, program that he put together to, uh, aid in the
14
    record keeping for, um, accounts payable.
15
16
         TAN:
                        Now this, um -- the -- the February 11th,
17
    2022 evaluation that you received, that was reviewed by, um,
18
    uh, members of upper management as well. Is that right?
19
         DEMROW:
                        That's correct. It was, yes.
20
                        Okay. And that's, uh, Amy Garland and, uh,
         TAN:
21
    Katherine Miller who reviewed that, right?
                        That's correct.
22
         DEMROW:
23
         TAN:
                        And then Amy Garland, do you -- do you
    know, um, Amy's, uh -- Amy Garland's title at that time?
24
25
         DEMROW:
                        Yeah, I know her. Uh, she -- actually, she
```

hired me, and I worked for her for quite a while. And, uh -yes. And -- and it -- I-I'd like to say this, it was almost as
if this section had fallen out or something. 'Cause Amy's
review of the review addressed every issue. But this one, she
-- was just -- she left it blank and didn't -- and it was
almost like she didn't see what I had put in there. I-I
thought that's what really happened 'cause she just didn't -she didn't say anything about the chart or the numbers or the
figures. And it was almost as if, like, when it got handed off
to her that this wasn't in there at all because she addressed
every other point, uh, very clearly and very eloquently. And,
uh, I-I don't -- I can't say that I just necessarily disagree
with what she said necessarily, but there's just nothing in
her, uh, review of the review about that particular part,
which is I -- the lion's share of my argument.

1.5

TAN: Well, um, Amy Garland did review data from Advantage, correct?

DEMROW: Yeah, she did. She did review the, um -she did review the -- the data from Advantage. Yeah. Which was
in the original -- yeah. But -- and -- and I'm trying to make
the case that is -- doesn't tell the whole story 'cause of -but yeah, she did, for sure.

TAN: And this, uh, February 11th, 2022 evaluation that you received, it's -- it's attached as Exhibit B. You disagreed with that evaluation, right?

```
1
         DEMROW:
                         Yes.
                         All right. Uh, let's turn to Exhibit E,
 2
         TAN:
    and this is NDVS 0054.
 3
                         Okay.
 4
         DEMROW:
 5
         TAN:
                         Um, and the document that starts on NDVS
 6
    0054 is an evaluation you received in March of 2022. Is that
 7
    right?
                         Yes.
 8
         DEMROW:
 9
         TAN:
                         And you disagreed with this evaluation as
10
    well, correct?
                         I did.
11
         DEMROW:
                         Now go ahead and turn to NDVS 0060 in that
12
         TAN:
13
    same exhibit.
14
         DEMROW:
                         You said 0060?
                         Yeah.
15
         TAN:
16
         DEMROW:
                         Okay.
                         Are you there?
17
         TAN:
                         Yeah.
18
         DEMROW:
         TAN:
                         Um, and this is your written response to
19
    the Marc, 2022 evaluation. Is that right?
20
21
                         Um, yeah. Yeah -- It, it -- yeah.
         DEMROW:
22
                         And you were -- and you wrote this, uh,
         TAN:
23
    truthfully and accurately, correct?
24
                         I wrote this what?
         DEMROW:
25
                         Truthfully and accurately?
         TAN:
```

```
DEMROW:
                    Uh, to the best of my knowledge. He, um --
and just for -- for clarity's sake, it's not really meant to
be a standalone independent, um -- it's -- because they --
they -- they build on each other. Um, I-I come back to it
several times, and so -- but yeah. Yeah, it is true to the
best of my knowledge.
     TAN:
                    Okay. And -- and so it is true, uh, you --
you acknowledge that your error rate is, uh --
     DEMROW:
                    I do acknowledge that.
                    -- at least 49%. Is that correct?
     TAN:
     DEMROW:
                    Yes, I -- yes.
     TAN:
                    All right.
                    Yes, very high.
     DEMROW:
                    And you talk about an instance in this
     TAN:
written, uh -- written response where there was a day where
you had 3 hours left in the workday, and you were able to
process 16 invoices. Is that right?
     DEMROW:
                    Um, I-I-I do -- I think I remember that
section. Yeah.
                    Now, um, this evaluation -- the March 2022
     TAN:
evaluation was also reviewed by upper management, correct?
                    I think so, yes. Yes.
     DEMROW:
     TAN:
                    And they, uh, agreed with, uh, your
evaluation that you were not meeting standards, right?
```

The upper management did, yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEMROW:

TAN: All right. Now let's look at Exhibit H, 1 and this is NDVS 0088. 2 3 **DEMROW:** Okay. 4 TAN: Are you there? 5 **DEMROW:** <inaudible>. All right, now I am. Uh, now NDVS -- are the documents starting 6 TAN: 7 on NDVS 0088 is a -- an evaluation that you received in May --May 11th, 2022. Is that right? 9 **DEMROW:** That's correct. And it shows that you did not meet 10 TAN: 11 standards in each of the job elements, correct? That's correct. It does show that. 12 **DEMROW:** 13 TAN: Now, could you turn to NDVS 0091 on that same document? 14 15 Yes. DEMROW: 16 Is that your signature on NDVS 0091? TAN: Um, yes. This -- I pointed this out to the 17 **DEMROW:** -- the current HR, uh, person, 'cause it -- um, this -- this 18 statement here is a check mark box agreed. And when we went 19 20 over these, I said, I didn't -- I -- that's a mistake. I didn't agree with this. Uh, that should be --21 22 TAN: Okay. 23 Um, see what the difference was, I had requested a review on every one but this one, because I was 24

kind of going crazy for these. I-I, my intent was to check, I

disagreed, but not to check. I wanted to review 'cause I-I 1 didn't want to review them. 2 Oh, okay. So, you're -- you're changing 3 TAN: your answer today. You're -- you're changing your answer that 5 you put on this document. You're changing that today? Well, no. I changed it all quite a while 6 **DEMROW:** 7 ago when I told, uh, that -- the HR director I -- and I didn't -- I never intended to check that box. I intended to check 8 disagree and not -- but I was so used to checking the one on the right. But I've never agreed with this. And I -- and I 10 mentioned it the second I did it. I-I don't even actually 11 think I did check that box to tell you the truth. But -- but 12 yeah. Um, if I -- I do not agree with that and I never have. 13 And -- and that -- I don't know how that box got checked, but 14 I-I must have done it. I must have checked it and I apologize 1.5 16 for that. I meant to check I disagree with this, but not the review box. 17 18 TAN: Okay. So, you -- you acknowledged that this is possibly -- you signed this. You were just somehow 19 20 mistaken? 21 Yeah. Uh, yeah, it's very possible that I DEMROW: -- I checked that box thinking that that was the disagree box 22 23 'cause I was in a hurry or something. Yeah. You were in a hurry. Is that why this is -24 TAN:

25

- this is wrong?

```
DEMROW:
                        Yeah. Well, I get a lot of these, so I-I
 1
    have to, you know -- I have to get through them. But, uh, I --
 2
    veah, I don't --
 3
                        So, it's -- it's --
 4
         TAN:
 5
         DEMROW:
                        And I made that abundantly clear.
                        All right. So, it's -- it is your
 6
         TAN:
 7
    testimony that it's -- you -- you could have not done it and
    someone else did. You could have done it and it was a mistake.
 8
    Um, you could have left it blank, and someone forged it. Are
    these all your answers today?
10
11
         DEMROW:
                        My answer is, I don't know.
         TAN:
                        All right. That's all I have, uh, for you,
12
    sir. No more questions for me, uh, Chair. This is, uh, DAG Tan
13
    for the record.
14
                        Okay. Um, so state is your case rested?
15
         DUPREE:
16
         TAN:
                        Uh, no. I-I'm -- we're -- we-we're
17
         DUPREE:
                        Do you have other witnesses? 'Cause, uh,
18
    you were just questioning the -- the grievant. Okay. So, do
    you have anything further in your case, sir?
19
20
                        Um, no. No.
         DEMROW:
                        Okay. So, do you rest your case?
21
         DUPREE:
22
         DEMROW:
                        Um, are we at closing statements? I'll
23
    keep my -- no? No, okay. Yeah, I do rest my case. Yes, I do.
    Yes, I do rest I said.
24
```

25

DUPREE:

All right. Is the state prepared to go on

```
with its case?
 1
                        Yeah, we're ready, Your Honor. This is DAG
 2
         TAN:
    Tan. Um, right now we -- uh, this is DAG Tan for the record.
 3
    Uh, we call Laurie Flannigan.
 5
         DUPREE:
                        Ms. Flannigan, I'm sure you remember.
 6
    You're still under oath from earlier this morning. So, um,
 7
    have seat. Ms. Flannigan, can you speak up for the
   microphones? It's kinda hard to hear you.
 9
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        All right.
10
         DUPREE:
11
         TAN:
                        Hi, Ms. Flannigan, this is, uh, Gerald
    Tan, uh, uh, for the agency. Um, could you just, uh, state
12
    your name for this record?
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Laurie Flannigan.
14
                        And, uh, Ms. Flannigan, you were, um --
15
         TAN:
    you currently are, uh, Mr. Demrow's, uh, supervisor. Is that
16
17
    right?
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        And when did you start supervising Mr.
19
         TAN:
20
    Demrow?
21
                              March 8th, 2021.
         FLANNIGAN:
                        Now, how many people, um, does, uh, the
22
         TAN:
23
    agency have on their fiscal team?
                              Uh, 3 -- I'd say 8.
24
         FLANNIGAN:
```

And that team of 8 people handles all of

25

TAN:

```
the transactions for the agency throughout the state, correct?
 1
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 2
                         Okay. Um, do you have our, uh, exhibit
 3
         TAN:
    packet in front of you?
 4
 5
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes, I do.
 6
         TAN:
                         Can you turn to Exhibit A? Uh, this is
 7
    NDVS 0001.
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Okay.
 9
         TAN:
                        And what do you recognize this document
   being?
10
11
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Uh, this was a employee work
    performance form that I completed for Mr. Demrow.
12
                        And is, um -- are these the work
13
         TAN:
    performance standards that you used to evaluate, uh, Mr.
14
    Demrow in February of 2022, in March of 2022, and then again
15
    in May 2022?
16
17
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
18
         TAN:
                        All right. All right. And do these job
    performance -- or job, uh, work performance standards, do they
19
20
    apply to all Accounting Assistant, uh -- Assistant IIIs?
21
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                         Could you turn to, um, NDVS 0004?
22
         TAN:
23
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Okay.
                        And, uh, these are some of the duties, um,
24
         TAN:
25
    of an Accounting Assistant III. Is that right?
```

1	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
2	TAN: Um, under number 9, um, on that list, uh,
3	could you read that for us?
4	FLANNIGAN: Pay invoices within 15 business days
5	of receipt, or monthly when applicabl-applicable, as all the
6	support supporting documents are presented.
7	TAN: So, the expectation of an Accounting
8	Assistant III is to pay these invoices, or at least process
9	them, within 15 days. Is that right?
10	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
11	TAN: And then under, uh, number 17, uh, on that
12	same list, um, Accounting Assistants are expected
13	Accounting Assistant IIIs are expected to have an error rate
14	of 2% or less. Is that correct?
15	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
16	TAN: Let's turn to the next Exhibit, Exhibit B.
17	This is NDVS 0005.
18	FLANNIGAN: Okay.
19	TAN: Um, and what do you recognize this
20	document to be?
21	FLANNIGAN: Uh, this is the Employee Report of
22	Performance that I issued to Mr. Demrow on February 16th.
23	TAN: Of 2022?
24	FLANNIGAN: Yes.
25	TAN: Okay. And it looks like here that you, um,

identified that he did not meet standards in each of the 7 job 1 levels. Is that correct? 2 3 FLANNIGAN: Yes. All right. Let's just, uh, briefly go 4 TAN: 5 through then. Um, the first one is quality of work. You rated him as does not meet standards. Can you briefly explain why 6 7 you did that? 8 FLANNIGAN: He has frequent errors. He does not double check his work. Items are sometimes missing from his payments. And he doesn't produce the quantity of work needed 10 11 for his budget. 12 TAN: Now, it mentions on -- on, um -- under this quality of work section on NDVS 0005, at, um -- on 13 September 27th, 2021, that you sat down with Frank -- uh, with 14 Mr. Demrow to, um, refresh his memory about, uh, uh, his job 15 16 duties. Do you recall doing that? 17 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 18 TAN: Okay. Did that, um, uh, training session that he had with you -- did that, uh, increase his 19 20 productivity at all? 21 No. Well, it seemed like for a couple FLANNIGAN: days he concentrated on his job and improved his performance, 22 23 but then it went down again. And it looks like under quality of work 24 TAN:

again, that, um, Mr. Demrow was assigned to 3 classes, uh -- 3

1 training classes. 2 FLANNIGAN: Yes. As of the date of this report, did he 3 TAN: 4 complete those trainings? 5 FLANNIGAN: Let's see. On this report, no he 6 didn't. 7 All right. Let's just go to the next TAN: section, the Quantity of Work section. You marked him as also 8 9 not meeting standards for this job, right? FLANNIGAN: Yes. 10 11 TAN: Um, now I'll -- I won't have you read the -- the entire explanation, but this section does explain your 12 reasoning behind that, correct? 13 FLANNIGAN: Yes, it does. I kept track, when I 14 15 could, of his payments being processed. We'd asked him to 16 complete at least 20 payments a day to catch his budget -- the past due invoices up for his budget, and he was not meeting 17 18 that. And now it says in here that his, uh, 19 TAN: 20 daily job duties were reduced from 15, uh, to 4, um, to help him, uh, meet this goal of 20 transactions per day. Could you 21 22 just briefly explain what you mean by that? 23 FLANNIGAN: Um, well, each of the team members help with multiple stuff in the office, like answering the 24

door that -- we have a finance phone line, and helping with

travel payments, and just -- there's utility logs that they're 1 supposed to keep for their budget and travel logs that are 2 kept for their budget. 3 And so, these duties, um, that would 4 TAN: 5 usually belong to Mr. Demrow, you assigned these to other 6 people. Is that right? 7 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 8 TAN: Okay. And so other team members were 9 handling duties that Mr. Demrow was supposed to be doing? 10 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 11 TAN: Now, even after reassigning those duties and minimizing some of the other duties that Mr. Demrow had to 12 do, was he able to meet this 20, uh, transaction a day, um, 13 benchmark? 14 15 No, he wasn't. FLANNIGAN: 16 TAN: Okay. Now, um -- and you have some numbers here, um, on your evaluation. In October of 2021, what was he 17 18 expected to have, um, completed? Um, it would've been 120 entries in 6 19 FLANNIGAN: 20 days. 21 And how many did he actually complete? TAN: 27. 22 FLANNIGAN: 23 TAN: Now, in November there was a, uh, 16 day period and he was expected to have 320 transactions completed. 24

25

Is that right?

FLANNIGAN: Yes. It was possible to have 320 1 transactions and he did 51. 2 And then for December of 2020 -- 2021, uh, 3 TAN: it looks like he was expected during this 18 and 3/4 4 5 consecutive days, uh, 375 transactions. Is that right? FLANNIGAN: Yes. 6 7 And what did he actually perform? TAN: 8 FLANNIGAN: He -- he completed 98 transactions. 9 TAN: Now, in your opinion, um, is 20 transactions a day a reasonable and, uh -- a reasonable number 10 11 to assign to Mr. Demrow? 12 FLANNIGAN: Yes. Uh, in the next section under Work Habits, 13 TAN: again you marked him as does not meet standards. Could you 14 1.5 just briefly explain, uh, why you did this? 16 FLANNIGAN: Um, he still doesn't follow the 17 policies and regulations. Um, he requires direction to return 18 to his job duties when he gets distracted. Um, he require -he fails to process payments until they're sent to him a 19 20 second time, asking him to process them. He didn't want to implement any of the suggestions I showed him to increase his 21 22 productivity. Um, I took the scanner off my desk to help him 23 not have to get up and go to the copier all the time to scan

documents. He refused to use it. And he just -- he didn't

wanna organize his work or didn't implement any of the

24

suggestions I offered.

TAN: Now, you used some words like, um, distracted. Um, he would distract and disrupt other team members, um, poor use of time. Could you give us some examples of how Mr. Demrow was, uh, distracting or disruptive to other team members?

FLANNIGAN: Um, he would like to make up flyers about different facts and post them around the office. Um, one that comes to mind is one concerning Sherlock Holmes.

DEMROW: I --

FLANNIGAN: Um, he also liked to walk around the office juggling stress balls, going up and down the hallways with those. Um, he frequently was Teams meeting people from other agencies about non-work related issues. Um, he was composing lengthy emails still to team members and blind copying everyone. So, nobody knew who all these emails were being sent to. Those were on the top of my head.

TAN: And he was doing all this, uh, disruptive and, uh, distracting activities all while not completing his 20 transactions a day. Is that right?

FLANNIGAN: Yes.

TAN: Let's go to the next section,

Relationships with Other Persons. Again, you rated him as does

not meet standards. Um, wha --t we have an explanation here.

The committee can read it themselves. But if you just give us

just a brief overview of why you -- why you gave him that rating.

FLANNIGAN: I would frequently have team members coming to me complaining about stuff he was doing during work hours of disrupting them and rude behaviors. Um, he was continuing to use work time for non-work-related activities. And it was causing a lot of resentment in the office that people were covering his job duties and he was not concentrating on his job duties that he still had.

TAN: The next section is Taking Action

Independently. Um, again, you're rating him as does not meet standards. Could you, um, give us a brief explanation of why you did that?

FLANNIGAN: Um, he would frequently email me multiple times a day asking me questions that are covered in trainings that he's had. I'd asked him to take some trtrainings again. He did not complete that task. Um, he frequently had to be directed to complete job duties. He didn't seem to mind that accounts were -- vendors were not shipping materials and supplies needed by the agency. And he was frequently needed by me and another sup, um -- not -- the person who's not his supervisor, but is in charge of the Southern Homes budget also. And that person was daily having to email him to remind him to process payments to certain vendors that he had sent him previously.

TAN: Now, the committee has heard this in the prior grievance, but because of his failure to, uh, complete these transactions, or process these transactions, some accounts were, um -- became past due. Some utilities were frozen or shut off. Is that correct?

1.5

FLANNIGAN: Uh, we frequently received, um, notices that our services were being suspended, and frequently got notices from the southern home that order -- vendors refused to process orders until we got our payments caught up.

TAN: Um, now the next section -- this is NDVS 0007. Um, this is Meeting Work Commitments. And again, you rated him as does not meet standards. Could you give us a brief explanation of why you did that?

FLANNIGAN: Um, I had reduced his job duties, assigning them to other team members, so that he could work on getting his budget -- past due invoices caught up. And he was not focusing on that job duty. He was still distracting team members and himself. And the status of our accounts were -- with vendors was still not improving.

TAN: Now the last one here is analyzing situations and materials. Uh, you rated him as does not meet standards. Could you give us a brief explanation for that?

FLANNIGAN: Um, a lot of the payments that I needed to reject back to him for corrections were because of simple errors. He wasn't double checking his work. Um, he's --

```
was daily needing guidance and help on processing payments and
 1
    not using the tools given to him or the resources to correct
 2
    problems on his own. He wanted constant direction be -- to be
 3
    given to him.
 4
 5
         TAN:
                        Now, Mr. Demrow has been working on the,
 6
    uh -- on the fiscal team at the Department of Veteran Services
 7
    since 2016. Is that, um -- is that correct?
                              That's my understanding, yes.
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
 9
         TAN:
                        Now, if someone who's been working with
    the agency since 2016, would you expect them to have the
10
11
    knowledge and ability to handle these um, uh, processes on
    their own?
12
                             Yes. He's had more trainings than
13
         FLANNIGAN:
    some of his counterparts, and so I would expect him to know a
14
    little more of what's required.
1.5
16
         TAN:
                        And do his counterparts come to you as
    frequently as Mr. Demrow does?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                             No, they do not.
                        Um, on this second half of NDVS 0007, uh,
19
         TAN:
20
    you list some goals and objectives there. Do you see that?
21
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        And included in here, um, um, is, again,
22
         TAN:
23
    an instruction to complete 20 payment entries per day,
    correct?
2.4
```

Yes. Yes, it is.

25

FLANNIGAN:

```
1
         TAN:
                         And there was a follow up reeva -- uh,
    follow up evaluation completed in March of 2022. Is that
 2
    right?
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
 4
                              Yes.
 5
         TAN:
                         Let's turn to that one. This is Exhibit E.
 6
    Um, this is NDVS 0054. Are you there, Ms. Flannigan?
 7
                              Yes, I am.
         FLANNIGAN:
                         Okay. Now what do you recognize Exhibit E,
 8
         TAN:
 9
    um, to be?
10
         FLANNIGAN:
                             This is the evaluation I gave Mr.
11
    Demrow on March 11<sup>th</sup>.
                         On March 11th, 2022?
12
         TAN:
                              Yes. Actu -- yeah.
13
         FLANNIGAN:
14
                         Okay. And this is the follow-up evaluation
         TAN:
15
    that you, um, mentioned in the February, um, evaluation,
    correct?
16
17
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
18
         TAN:
                         And you again marked him as does not meet
    standards in each of the job elements, right?
19
20
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
21
                         Um, did you see any improvement in any of
         TAN:
    the job elements, um, listed here?
22
23
         FLANNIGAN:
                              No.
                         Was he, uh, completing 20 payments per day
24
         TAN:
25
    as -- as instructed?
```

```
1
         FLANNIGAN:
                             No, he wasn't. I believe his average
    payments per day came out to 3.17.
 2
                        Um, you scheduled a, um -- you follow up -
 3
         TAN:
    - another follow up evaluation for May of, uh -- May of 2022,
 4
 5
    right?
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
 6
 7
                        Now, let's turn to that. Um, this is
         TAN:
    Exhibit H, NDVS 0088. And let me know when you're there.
 8
 9
         FLANNIGAN:
                              I'm there.
                         Okay. And then, um, Exhibit H, NDVS 0088,
10
         TAN:
11
    this is the May 11th, 2022 evaluation that you did for Mr.
    Demrow, right?
12
13
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        And again, you marked him as does not meet
14
         TAN:
    standards in each of the job, uh -- uh, job elements, right?
15
16
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        Now I'm looking at, um, the bottom of NDVS
17
         TAN:
18
    0088, under the Quality of Work section. You do mention here
    that his error rate did improve from 49% to 34%. Is that
19
20
    correct?
21
                              Yes, it is.
         FLANNIGAN:
                        But that's still far above the 2% that is
22
         TAN:
23
    required of all the Accounting Assistant IIIs, correct?
                              Yes.
24
         FLANNIGAN:
                         In the, uh -- the period that, uh, is
25
         TAN:
```

covered by the May 11th, 2022 evaluation, um, his average 1 payments per day is 3.68. Is that correct? This is -- I'm 2 looking at NDVS 0089, quantities. 3 FLANNIGAN: 4 Yes. 5 TAN: Okay. Again, this is not the, um -- this 6 is far below the 20 transactions per day that he was 7 instructed to do, correct? Yes, it is. 8 FLANNIGAN: 9 TAN: And were you still having issues with vendors, um, withholding services or having issues because of 10 11 non-payment? Yes, we did. 12 FLANNIGAN: And during this time period for the May 13 TAN: 11th, 2022 evaluation, are you -- you still having other staff 14 assist with Mr. Demrow's other duties? 1.5 16 FLANNIGAN: Yes, I was. In your opinion, Ms. Flannigan, did you 17 TAN: 18 give Mr. Demrow an adequate explanation of what he needed to work on? 19 20 FLANNIGAN: Yes. 21 Uh, did you give him an adequate TAN: opportunity to make those improvements, uh, between those 22 23 evaluations? Yes. FLANNIGAN: 2.4

And in each of those evaluations, you

25

TAN:

```
standards, correct?
 2
                              Correct.
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
                        I think that's all I have for you, Ms.
 4
         TAN:
 5
    Flannigan. Thank you. I'll pass the witness, Chair. Frank
    Demrow for the record. I-I just -- I'll be real quick. I --
 6
    when -- when I got that evaluation, do you remember -- and
 7
   maybe don't, 'cause I know it was a long time ago and covered
    a lot of different periods. But do you remember how many other
    people did the job I did. Um, <inaudible>.
10
                             Which evaluation?
11
         FLANNIGAN:
         DEMROW:
                        The -- the one that this is about. The one
12
    that's stated --
13
                             The first one?
14
         FLANNIGAN:
         DEMROW:
                        Yeah.
15
16
         FLANNIGAN:
                             Okay.
                        How many -- how many people did the job
17
         DEMROW:
18
    that I did then?
                              2, 2 others besides you.
19
         FLANNIGAN:
20
                        <inaudible> 2 other people. Um, but, um,
         DEMROW:
    one of them was out, right, for that -- for the entire period
21
    of my -- that -- that the evaluation covers? They were out of
22
23
    the office?
                              I don't recall.
24
         FLANNIGAN:
25
         DEMROW:
                        You don't recall? Okay. All right. Um, so
```

found that he was not able to meet the work performance

```
is being in one of the -- well, that's -- I-I have no more
 1
    questions. Thank you.
 2
                        <inaudible> question.
 3
         DUPREE:
                        Sandie Geyer. I just wanted make sure that
 4
         GEYER:
 5
    he had an opportunity to cross.
         TAN:
                        Thank you.
 6
 7
                        Um, I have a question. Um, if a payment --
         DUPREE:
    in the new system, can you look at a payment and tell where it
 8
    is and who has it? Like, a payment is in process. Can you tell
    whose desk it's on?
10
11
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Yes.
                        Okay. And these payments were not -- I
         DUPREE:
12
   mean, were -- were they -- they were all on his desk, I'm
13
    assuming? Is that what it was?
14
                              Well, if you -- all this is tracked
15
         FLANNIGAN:
    in a transaction log.
16
17
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                              And the transaction log shows the
    status of every requisition requiring payment.
19
20
                        Sandie Gyeer for the record. Um, I do have
         GEYER:
    a question. I -- actually, I have a couple.
21
22
         FLANNIGAN:
                              Okay.
23
         GEYER:
                        So, um, it appears that the last
    evaluation for Mr. Demrow was in May of 2022?
24
```

Yes.

25

FLANNIGAN:

```
GEYER:
                        Has Mr. Demrow received any additional
 1
    evaluations since that date?
 2
                             No, he has not.
 3
         FLANNIGAN:
 4
         GEYER:
                        You may or may not know this answer. Um,
 5
    just outta curiosity, how many times, according to your
    agency's policies that, um -- that employees can have a do not
 6
    meets evaluation --
 7
                              I don't know that
 8
         FLANNIGAN:
 9
         GEYER:
                        -- in a period of time? Do -- you don't?
                              I don't.
10
         FLANNIGAN:
11
         GEYER:
                        I didn't -- I wasn't sure if you would,
    but I assumed you would. Thank you.
12
                        Hi, Chair. This is, uh, Gerald Tan for the
13
         TAN:
    record. Can I just have a quick follow up?
14
         DUPREE:
15
                        Sure.
16
         TAN:
                        Um, Ms. Flannigan, can you turn to NDVS
    0090?
17
18
         FLANNIGAN:
                              I'm there.
                        Um, um, under, um, goals and objectives,
19
         TAN:
20
    that last box there, um, can you just read that quickly for
21
    the committee?
22
                              "This concludes your 90 days
         FLANNIGAN:
23
    performance improvement plans since you received your does not
   meet standards evaluation. The goal was to bring your rating
24
```

to meet standards during this 90-day period. Unfortunately, we

continue to be unsuccessful in this effort. NRS 284.650 calls for disciplinary action or corrective action for incompetence or efficient -- inefficiency in work performance standards. Therefore, this evaluation will be recommended further for review by the appointing authority." Okay. And is -- is that the reason why TAN: there haven't been any evaluations since this May 2022 evaluation? FLANNIGAN: Yes. Okay. And, uh, for Member Geyer, I'll --TAN: I'll just explain it. Um, under the regulations, if there's a substandard evaluation, you review at least every 90 days or, um -- or more frequently, until you -- they either meet standards or you decide to impose this and -- which is what happened here, in the latter. And Chair, that's all the, uh, follow up questions that I have. Okay. You already asked your questions of DUPREE: this witness. I have no questions. Frank Demrow for the DEMROW: record, no questions. <inaudible>. Excuse me, sir. Thank you, again. DUPREE: All right, Chair. Um, I'm ready to call TAN: our next witness. DUPREE: Okay.

Um, it's gonna be, uh, Mr. Joe Theile,

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

TAN:

```
who's sitting, uh -- sitting by you right now.
 1
                        I need to sign in and I swear to tell the
 2
         THEILE:
    truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.
 3
                        Yes, sir. You are still under oath.
 4
         DUPREE:
 5
         TAN:
                        Hi, Joe.
         THEILE:
                        Hi.
 6
 7
                        Uh, Mr. Theile, could you just, uh, state
         TAN:
 8
    and spell your name for the record?
 9
         THEILE:
                        My name is Joseph Theile, J-O-S-E-P-H
    Thiele, T, as in Tom, H-E-I-L-E.
10
11
         TAN:
                        And Mr. Theile, what's your, um, current
    job title?
12
                        My current job title is Executive Officer
13
         THEILE:
    and CFO.
14
                        And, um, is -- is it part of your duties
15
         TAN:
    to oversee the fiscal team at the Department of Veteran
16
    Services?
17
18
         THEILE:
                        I oversee fiscal, HR, and IT.
                        Now, have you had interactions with Mr.
19
         TAN:
20
    Demrow before?
21
         THEILE:
                        Yes.
22
         TAN:
                        And are you knowledgeable of his, um --
23
    uh, his work performance and, uh, workplace issues and things
    like that?
24
```

25

THEILE:

Yes.

TAN: Now you -- you've sat through the testimony of Ms. Flannigan. Um, have you read through the evaluations that we are here to talk about today?

THEILE: Yes.

TAN: Now, do you agree with the conclusions

that Ms. Flannigan had, um -- had come to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THEILE: Unfortunately, yes.

TAN: Now, could you explain to us the impact, um, that Mr. Demrow's performance has had on the fiscal team, on the agency as a whole, um, or even the state as a whole? THEILE: The impact is across the board. Um, to -to date -- if I'm allowed to say, to date, we're still getting past due notices, the shutoff notices. I could not close our -- that particular account, and it was closed late. Um, when I did close it, I had to close it and order everybody to start paying out of the next fiscal year, because we could not reconcile the amount of volume that he's behind. Um, he -- wewe've tried to coach him. I asked -- I've asked him personally, what do you need? And I've told him it's not in anybody's best interest -- his, anybody on the team's interest for him to fail. And that's not the goal. Um, his other team members are -- have been carrying the -- picking up all these other job duties. And when he was out recently, they're taking on -- had to take on all his jobs. Um, in 3 days, 160 -- they

processed 160 payments in that account, on top of doing their

own work. They were not --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAN: Any other -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry, go ahead.

And they -- they were not happy when we THEILE: had the meeting explaining they were gonna have to do it, but we had to close that -- the fiscal year. The problem with not keeping up, you know, logs and accurate entries in -- in the system for the purchase orders, I could not go in and accurately assess a work program. We need a work program. Do we need a work program? How much money really is there? I can go into Dawn and see how much has been paid, but what's really hanging out? That -- a -- the Accounting Assistants are expected to pre-encumber costs. Right now, in the brand-new fiscal year, you can go in and say, hmm, I've got water bills for the cemetery. And it's -- looks like these are pretty much the averages. Oh, it goes up here. And you can pre-encumber a purchase order for that, which then pull -- pull -- will pull that money out of what's available. And you can adjust when the actual bill comes in, if it's higher or lower, based on that, and then you show it as paid. When that is not done, it's impossible for me to do the job -- and the management analyst to do the job of where are we? What do we really have? Do I need to go to the IFC and ask? And how do I justify it if I don't know? Um, it -- it takes time -- so much time in trying to make sure that you -- you train and then having

somebody have to document every -- everything, every day. Mr. 1 Demrow was given task -- a task sheet list saying, put down 2 the task that you have -- you've done every day. And you know, 3 this is gonna be used as a training tool to see where you're 5 effective, not effective. He wrote nonsense on so many of those, and it's so disappointing. 6 7 Um, you mentioned interactions with the TAN: IFC. What does the IFC stand for? 8 9 THETLE: Interim Finance Committee. It's made up of members of the -- what they call the money committees, the 10 11 Senate, uh, Finance and the Assembly Ways and Means. They put, um, members on both of them. They have a chair. And that's for 12 in the interim period of legislature. They can -- they can, 13 uh, um -- they, uh -- they can approve funds out of a 14 1.5 contingency account. If you have grants that are over a 16 certain threshold, they have to go before you can accept them. Although, I understand they've always approved the grants, so. 17

18 | If -- in one case, um, we had to do a 15-day work program to

close another account because we received more money, um, from

the VA, Veterans Administration, than was projected 2 years

prior. The budget's built 2 years before it actually becomes

into effect and changes will happen.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAN: So, this budget process is -- sounds like a, uh -- a complex process. Would you agree with that?

THEILE: Yes, I've been involved in them in the

past. This was the first time that I was responsible for the full budget. And going in it and trying to really project those shortfall areas and get those built up was -- the accounts were in such a mess. Uh, there's way too many past due, way too many bills that haven't -- there were some bills in the stack that had been paid. So why is the invoice sitting there? It's very -- it's very confusing. Everybody has to jump in and try to figure it out.

1.5

TAN: Um, so Mr. Demrow's, uh, shortcoming with his work performance, uh, made your job more difficult in managing the agency's finances and things like that.

THEILE: It made Ms. Flannigan's job more difficult, mine, which then affects the -- the Governor's Finance Office and our liaisons in that office. Because what I can't -- if I get it wrong, they're trying to fix it. And that's something I-I don't want to have happen. The -- the -- his, uh, counterparts are doing more work. Um, it impacts us being able to pay bills, get the items we need, um, and -- and -- yeah. Well, if we're talking -- and I would just want to -- you -- I don't know if you want me to bring you fully current of, you know -- on it all, but, um, he was in the Advantage System, which is our state payment system, and it shows that he put his approval on an RXQ. It was not in his account. And when purchasing called the vendor, the vendor said it's not even shipping until after the -- the, you know --

- later, a period. He denies that he did it. However, the --1 I've never -- and we confirmed with the controller's office 2 that it's him 100%. The only other scenario is that he 3 breached his duty to keep his password login in a secure 5 manner, which then is also a breach of policies, prohibitions. And we have a fiduciary responsibility to every person in this 6 state to be accurate, to be truthful, and honest. 7 In that -- in that instance that you just 8 TAN: 9 described, it -- is that an example of, uh, Mr. Demrow not following agency, uh, procedures and protocols? 10 11 THEILE:

THEILE: Uh, it's -- it-it's not following agency or state. Um, when you get access to the Advantage System, you sign off that, you know, it's a, um -- a testament basically that, you know, you -- you're gonna do -- you're not going to falsify records like that.

TAN: I think you, um, alluded to this earlier that, um -- are there certain accounts that are assigned to certain Accounting Assistants on the fiscal team?

THEILE: Yes.

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAN: Is there anyone else on the fiscal team who is needing the amount of assistance that Mr. Demrow is, um -- is requiring?

THEILE: No. And the newer Accounting Assistants that have come in, were not former state employees and are picking up on things greatly. They're making mistakes.

Everybody does in the beginning, especially when you're starting with the state. But I would not allow him to do the training of, uh -- of the new, you know -- first new person that came in because he is not meeting his work performance standards. He is not following policies, procedures. I don't - I-I need somebody to be trained correctly. That was handed off to a contract employee that we had -- had hired in that position at that time, since made permanent, um, which was another Accounting Assistant. And, you know, it doesn't make anybody happy to be here today.

TAN: Based on your review of these evaluations that, uh, Mr. Demrow had received, do you believe that Mr. Demrow was given adequate notice of, uh, the areas that he needed improvement on?

THEILE: Yes. And by far he had the chance to complete his trainings, for example, Advantage Recertification, which is key for his position. And he didn't do it until I sent him an email saying you will get it done by such date. He thanked me for actually -- him taking the class. After doing that class though, he was asked to do a J -- a, um, JV, a journal voucher, which is a lot of times, too. It's put money in the right account that was in the wrong space, um, or reverse something, all covered in Advantage, which he has signed off that he's had that training. He understands that training. He ended up -- instead of -- what I would've

```
done is -- is gone and looked at the invoices that we have. I
 1
    would've looked at a past JV, if I wasn't famil -- as
 2
    familiar. I would've opened the Advantage book. But he found
 3
   his way in SharePoint to another agency and into all their
 5
    materials, which I don't know how long that took, but it was
    not helpful. And then he apologized for, oh, I didn't mean to
 6
    get there. It's a systemic problem. I wish it wasn't.
 7
 8
         TAN:
                        Now, do you feel like, uh, Mr. Demrow has
 9
    given -- been given adequate opportunity to improve his work
    performance?
10
11
         THEILE:
                        At every turn, yes.
         TAN:
                        And his issues -- his work performance
12
    issues, um, continue still to this day. Is that right?
13
                        Yes, they do.
14
         THEILE:
                        I think that's all the questions I have
15
         TAN:
    for you, Mr. Theile. I'll pass the witness, Chair.
16
                        Thank you.
17
         THEILE:
18
         DEMROW:
                        Um, Frank Demrow for the record. I have no
    questions for this witness.
19
20
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
21
                        Um, and we have, uh, no other witnesses
         TAN:
    for the state, Your Honor. This is DAG Tan.
22
23
         DUPREE:
                        All right, so, uh, <inaudible> closing --
24
    closing statements, Mr. Demrow?
25
         DEMROW:
                        Very good. I'll keep it real short and
```

simple. I -- I have a bachelor's degree from the University of Nevada, Reno in finance. I -- my whole life, I've never had any problems with any job I've ever had. I've always excelled at everything I've ever done. This is a very surreal and bizarre, uh, situation where everything I do is wrong, and I don't understand it. I don't get it. I don't know why it's like this. And I've done a lot of research and I discovered the thing that I mentioned earlier about, uh, the mobbing. Um, and that's the only thing I can attribute to this too. I know they have a very different narrative and a very different perspective. And, uh, that's all I have say about it, so.

DUPREE: Okay, thank you.

1.5

thank the committee for the time for the hearing today, I-I-I think it's quite telling that after all of the evidence that we've seen today and the testimony that we've heard, that Mr. Demrow still sees himself as the sole victim of -- of what -- what is transpiring. But I think what Mr. Theile has pointed out, um, and what's been clear from, uh, this pattern that we -- we see in the evaluations, um, his performance issues really do reverberate throughout the state. Um, and they cause really serious issues with the agency, um, and that really spills over to other agencies as well. Overall, these are evaluations that were proper. They were done by the book. They were based in fact. Um, they were based on work performance

standards that were, um, agreed to, signed off on. They were communicated to Mr. Dmerow. Uh, and we saw that -- that's Exhibit A. Um, he signed off on his work performance standards. And, um, they are based on very specific and serious issues, um, and a pattern of issues with Mr. Demrow. Um, we have not seen any credible evidence today to rebut any of the conclusions in the evaluations. Um, and still to this day, he's having issues with each of these job elements. Um, because these evaluations were proper, because there's no, um, evidence to rebut them because Mr. Demrow hasn't met his burden, uh, we ask that the, uh, grievance be denied and that these evaluations stand, um, as written.

1.5

DUPREE: Is that, um <inaudible> open the committee for discussion about his grievance. Anybody -- anybody wanna start?

GEYER: Sandie Geyer for the record. Uh, I think that in light of the information that the state has provided, which appears to be pretty extensive, in providing opportunity for the grievant to improve, putting items in place, tools in place, uh, mentoring, guidance, that type of thing, I-I-I think that, for the grievant, it is a misstep on his part that he hasn't taken advantage of the things that the state has offered, that the agency has offered, that the supervisor has offered. Uh, therefore, in my opinion, I believe that the grievance should be denied, that the evaluations stand on

their own merits at this point in time.

2.4

DUPREE: Anybody else want to add to that? Uh, I'd like to say I concur with Member Geyer. Uh, I know there's an old adage about not being able to see the forest for the trees. And Mr. Demrow, you may not be able to see it 'cause it's -- the situation is very important to you and you're close to it, but your agency, whether you see it or not, has done everything it can to try to help you. Whether you see it as that or not, that's what's going on from the 50-foot view. And, um, it would be better to avail yourself -- avail yourself of that <inaudible>. But I concur with Member Geyer. Can we get a motion on this <inaudible>

RUSSELL: Turessa Russell for the record.

DUPREE: Yes, Member Russell?

RUSSELL: I motion that we deny grievance 8887 for grievant Frank Demrow has failed to show cause for any changes or removal of the evaluations in this grievance.

DUPREE: Okay. Chair Dupree for the record. I'll second that motion. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

DUPREE: Okay. Motion carries unanimously. This grievance is denied. Mr. Demrow, you will receive a written determination within 45 days.

TAN: Thank you for your time.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

*** END OF MEETING ***

,

1	STATE OF NEVADA
2	EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
3	MEETING TRANSCRIPT
4	SEPTEMBER 22, 2022
5	
6	DUPREE:: So, since 8232 is already agreed the
7	grievant and the agency made an agreement before we got here,
8	uh, that brings us to public comment. There is no more public
9	in the north. Are there any in is any member of the public
10	present in the south?
11	UNIDENTIFIED: We have no public in the south
12	anymore.
13	DUPREE: Hearing none, uh, barring any objection,
14	the Chair would like to adjourn this meeting. Hearing no
15	objections, we are adjourned in this matter at 2:30 exactly,
16	um.
17	UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
18	DUPREE: Thank you all.
19	WEISS: Thanks guys.
20	*** END OF MEETING ***
21	
22	
23	
21	